



Name of Policy:**Dynamic Posturography**

Policy #: 268
Category: Medicine

Latest Review Date: January 2014
Policy Grade: C

Background/Definitions:

As a general rule, benefits are payable under Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Alabama health plans only in cases of medical necessity and only if services or supplies are not investigational, provided the customer group contracts have such coverage.

The following Association Technology Evaluation Criteria must be met for a service/supply to be considered for coverage:

- 1. The technology must have final approval from the appropriate government regulatory bodies;*
- 2. The scientific evidence must permit conclusions concerning the effect of the technology on health outcomes;*
- 3. The technology must improve the net health outcome;*
- 4. The technology must be as beneficial as any established alternatives;*
- 5. The improvement must be attainable outside the investigational setting.*

Medical Necessity means that health care services (e.g., procedures, treatments, supplies, devices, equipment, facilities or drugs) that a physician, exercising prudent clinical judgment, would provide to a patient for the purpose of preventing, evaluating, diagnosing or treating an illness, injury or disease or its symptoms, and that are:

- 1. In accordance with generally accepted standards of medical practice; and*
- 2. Clinically appropriate in terms of type, frequency, extent, site and duration and considered effective for the patient's illness, injury or disease; and*
- 3. Not primarily for the convenience of the patient, physician or other health care provider; and*
- 4. Not more costly than an alternative service or sequence of services at least as likely to produce equivalent therapeutic or diagnostic results as to the diagnosis or treatment of that patient's illness, injury or disease.*

Description of Procedure or Service:

Dynamic posturography tests a patient's balance control in situations intended to isolate factors that affect in everyday experiences. It provides quantitative information regarding balance.

Complaints of imbalance are common in older individuals and contribute to the risk of falling in the elderly population. Falls are the most common cause of death and disability in this population in the United States. Maintenance of balance is a complex physiologic process requiring interaction of the vestibular, visual, proprioceptive/somatosensory system, and central reflex mechanisms and is influenced by the general health of the patient (i.e., muscle tone, strength, and range of motion). Therefore, identifying and treating the underlying balance disorder may be difficult. Commonly used balance function tests such as electronystagmography (ENG) and rotational chair tests attempt to measure the extent and site of a vestibular lesion, but do not attempt to assess the functional ability of the patient to maintain balance. Posturography tests a patient's balance control in situations intended to isolate factors that affect balance in everyday experiences. Balance can be rapidly assessed qualitatively by asking the patient to maintain a steady stance on a flat or compressible surface (i.e., foam pads) with eyes open or closed. By closing the eyes, the visual input into balance is eliminated. The use of foam pads eliminates the sensory and proprioceptive cues. Therefore, only vestibular input is available when standing on a foam pad with eyes closed.

Dynamic posturography attempts to provide more quantitative information regarding the functional ability for maintaining balance. The patient, wearing a harness to prevent falls, stands on an enclosed platform surrounded by a visual field. By altering the angle of the platform or shifting the visual field, the test assesses movement coordination and the sensory organization of visual, somatosensory, and vestibular information relevant to postural control. The NeurCom EquiTest is a dynamic posturography device approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Using this device, the patient undergoes 6 different testing situations designed to evaluate the vestibular, visual, and proprioceptive/somatosensory components of balance. In general terms, the test measures an individual's balance (as measured by a force platform to calculate the movement of the patient's center of mass) while visual and somatosensory cues are altered. These tests vary by whether the eyes are open or closed, whether the platform is fixed or sway-referenced, and whether the visual surround is fixed or sway-referenced. Sway referencing involves making instantaneous computer-aided alteration in the platform or visual surround to coincide with changes in body position produced by sway. The purpose of sway referencing is to cancel out accurate feedback from somatosensory or visual systems that are normally involved in maintaining balance. In the first 3 components of the test, the support surface is stable, and visual cues are either present, absent, or sway-referenced. In tests 4 to 6, the support surface is sway-referenced to the individual, and visual cues are either present, absent, or sway-referenced. In tests 5 and 6, the only accurate sensory cues that are available for balance are vestibular cues. Results of computerized dynamic posturography have been used to determine what type of information (i.e., visual, vestibular, proprioceptive) can and cannot be used to maintain balance. Dynamic posturography cannot be used to localize the site of a lesion.

Other dynamic posturography device makers include Micromedical Technology, Metitur, and Vestibular Technologies.

Policy:

Dynamic posturography to assess vestibular dysfunction **does not meet** Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Alabama's medical criteria for coverage and is considered **investigational**.

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Alabama does not approve or deny procedures, services, testing, or equipment for our members. Our decisions concern coverage only. The decision of whether or not to have a certain test, treatment or procedure is one made between the physician and his/her patient. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Alabama administers benefits based on the members' contract and corporate medical policies. Physicians should always exercise their best medical judgment in providing the care they feel is most appropriate for their patients. Needed care should not be delayed or refused because of a coverage determination.

Key Points:

The policy was initially developed using a 1996 TEC Assessment which concluded that the evidence was insufficient to determine whether dynamic posturography distinguished between peripheral and central vestibular dysfunction. The policy was updated regularly using the MEDLINE database, through September 2013.

Assessment of a diagnostic technology such as dynamic posturography typically focuses on three parameters:

1. Technical performance;
2. Diagnostic performance (ie, sensitivity and specificity) in appropriate populations of patients; and
3. Demonstration that the diagnostic information can be used to improve patient outcomes (clinical utility).

Technical performance of a device is typically assessed with two types of studies, those that compare test measurements with a gold standard and those that compare results taken with the same device on different occasions (test-retest).

Diagnostic performance is evaluated by the ability of a test to accurately diagnose a clinical condition in comparison with the gold standard. The sensitivity of a test is the ability to detect a disease when the condition is present (true-positive), while specificity indicates the ability to detect patients who are suspected of disease but who do not have the condition (true-negative). Evaluation of diagnostic performance, therefore, requires independent assessment by the two methods in a population of patients suspected of disease but who do not all have the disease.

Evidence related to improvement of clinical outcomes with use of this testing assesses the data linking use of a test to changes in health outcomes (clinical utility). In some cases, tests can be evaluated adequately using technical and diagnostic performance; however, when a test identifies a new or different group of patients with a disease, randomized trials are needed to demonstrate impact of the test on the net health outcome.

What is the evidence on the technical performance of dynamic posturography?

As recently as 2011, the published literature on the technical performance of dynamic posturography addressed the optimal way to conduct or analyze test findings. For example, Pang et al. in Hong Kong evaluated a modified version of the Sensory Organization Test (SOT) that included a head movement component designed to improve the ability of dynamic posturography in assessing balance. In addition, a 2010 study by Visser et al. compared results of the commonly used pooled mean response to a series of trials to an analysis using only findings of the first unpracticed trial.

Several studies identified in updated literature searches have examined the technical and diagnostic performance of the test but did not identify a reliable and valid reference standard. For example, Baloh et al. studied balance control in a group of elderly patients who complained of balance disorders and a group of age-matched controls; the subjects were tested in a variety of situations (ie, eyes open and closed, while standing on a foam pad to disrupt sensory cues, tilting of the platform, etc.). The authors concluded that posturography data provided little information about the cause of imbalance and did not correlate with the frequency of reported falls.

Other authors have pointed out that the way in which results of computerized dynamic posturography may correlate to functional activities, such as gait, is uncertain. For example, measurements of gait, frequently gait velocity, are often used in the elderly population to assess balance and mobility. A variety of patient questionnaires have been designed to measure self-perceived dizziness or balance. The correlation between the results of these clinical tests, questionnaires, and computerized dynamic posturography is uncertain.

What is the evidence on the accuracy of dynamic posturography for identifying balance disorders?

Literature review updates failed to identify any studies that evaluated the sensitivity and specificity of dynamic posturography for diagnosing any specific balance disorder compared to commonly accepted balance tests. There is no “gold standard” test for measuring balance, which is a physiologic parameter. In the absence of a gold standard comparison, the literature search sought to identify studies that systematically compared results of dynamic posturography and other balance tests in an appropriate patient population, ie, individuals who are at increased risk of falling due to balance issues.

One study that used both dynamic posturography and another test for assessing balance was published in 2011 by Ebersbach and Gunkel in Germany. The study aimed to compare clinical tests (ie, the pull test) with dynamic posturography. A total of 58 successive patients with Parkinson disease and 29 healthy age-matched controls were included in the study. Before undergoing dynamic posturography testing, balance was assessed using the pull test (i.e., rater delivered a sudden pull to both shoulders from behind). These test results were used to stratify the Parkinson patients into subgroups (normal vs impaired pull tests) for comparison with the healthy controls. Posturography was performed using a stabilometer similar to a seesaw. Dynamic performance was assessed by measuring the linear displacement of the base of the platform on the ground over 60 seconds. Patients with normal pull-test results (n=30) had significantly lower sway values with dynamic posturography than controls (p=0.001). There were no significant differences, however, between patients with impaired pull-test results (n=28)

and controls in sway values with dynamic posturography ($p=0.43$). The authors concluded that dynamic posturography was not useful for identifying patients with impaired pull-tests and “it thus remains doubtful whether sway in this type of dynamic posturography is a valid indicator of clinical disequilibrium.”

Other published literature on dynamic posturography includes several studies using posturography in the assessment of fall risk. For example, Whitney et al. conducted a retrospective review of 100 charts of individuals referred to a balance and falls clinic with a vestibular diagnosis who had undergone dynamic posturography. Patients who reported multiple falls over six months had lower initial scores on the SOT than those who reported 1 or no falls.

Studies identified in 2012 and 2013 used dynamic posturography as a research tool to study balance, eg, in older individuals, Parkinson patients and knee osteoarthritis patients; these studies were not designed to evaluate the technical performance or accuracy of dynamic posturography.

What is the evidence that use of dynamic posturography improves health outcomes compared to patient assessment without dynamic posturography?

No randomized or nonrandomized controlled studies were identified that compared health outcomes in patients when treatment decisions were made with and without the results of dynamic posturography. One randomized controlled trial was identified, but this study used dynamic posturography as an outcome measure, rather than as a tool for making treatment decisions; thus conclusions cannot be drawn from this study on the impact of posturography on patient management.

Several retrospective studies were published that describe a customized exercise program based on results of a complete medical and neuro-otologic history and physical examination that included platform posturography. However, the contribution of dynamic posturography to the overall assessment and customization of the exercise program is unclear. In particular, the reports do not describe how (or whether) the exercise programs were modified based on specific deficits identified by platform posturography. Customized vestibular rehabilitation programs can be devised with a standard battery of tests. These retrospective reports are also limited by selection bias and lack of follow-up. Moreover, while these studies show that individualized therapy can improve patient outcomes, no controlled trials have assessed whether individually customized therapy programs are more effective than generic vestibular exercises.

In addition, other related studies have included the use of posturography in the assessment of patients after a clinical intervention. These include studies assessing results from interventions in Parkinson disease patients, and assessing patients with idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus before and after shunt surgery. For example, a 2009 study by Nocera et al. used posturography to evaluate the effectiveness of a home-based exercise program on postural control for 10 patients with Parkinson disease.) The patients and ten healthy age-matched controls were assessed with dynamic posturography before and after the 10-week intervention. Dynamic posturography was not used to select patients for the intervention or to individualize the intervention.

Summary

Dynamic posturography is a method of measuring balance under controlled laboratory conditions. It can provide information on the degree of imbalance present in an individual, but is not intended to diagnosis specific types of balance disorders. The evidence on dynamic posturography consists of studies on technical performance, comparisons of results in patients with balance disorders and healthy controls, and retrospective case series reporting outcomes of patients assessed with dynamic posturography as part of clinical care.

There is a lack of reference standards for dynamic posturography, which makes it difficult to determine how the results can be applied in clinical care. There is a lack of evidence on the performance characteristics of this test for clinically important conditions, such as identifying patients who are at risk of falls. There are no studies that demonstrate the clinical utility of the test, be leading to changes in management that improves health outcomes. As a result of these deficiencies in the evidence base, dynamic posturography is considered investigational for all indications.

Technology Assessments, Guidelines and Position Statements

The American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery Inc. and Foundation has issued two guidelines that mention dynamic posturography:

In 2007, a guideline on the evaluation of individuals with suspected balance or dizziness disorders listed dynamic posturography as one of 4 medically indicated tests or treatments.

In 2008, a guideline on the management of benign paroxysmal positional vertigo listed computerized posturography as one of 18 potential tools to consider for diagnosing this condition.

Key Words:

Dynamic posturography, vestibular dysfunction

Approved by Governing Bodies:

The NeuroCom Equitest™ is a dynamic posturography device that received a 510(k) marketing clearance from the FDA. Other dynamic posturography device makers include Micromedical Technology, Metitur, and Vestibular Technologies.

Benefit Application:

Coverage is subject to member's specific benefits. Group specific policy will supersede this policy when applicable.

ITS: Home Policy provisions apply

FEP contracts: FEP does not consider investigational if FDA approved. Will be reviewed for medical necessity.

Pre-certification requirements: Not applicable

Current Coding:

CPT Codes:

92548

Computerized dynamic posturography

References:

1. Allum JH, et al. An overview of the clinical use of dynamic posturography in the differential diagnosis of balance disorders, *Journal of Vestibular Research*, January 1999; 9(4): 223-252.
American Academy of Otolaryngology—Head and Neck Surgery. Posturography. . <http://www.entnet.org/Practice/policyPosturography.cfm>. Last accessed January 2014.
2. Badke MB, Miedaner JA, Shea TA, et al. Effects of vestibular and balance rehabilitation on sensory organization and dizziness handicap. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol*, January 2005; 114(1 Pt 1): 48-54.
3. Badke MB, Shea TA, Miedaner JA and Grove CR. Outcomes after rehabilitation for adults with balance dysfunction. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2004; 85(2): 227-233.
4. Baloh RW, et al. Balance disorders in older persons: Quantification with posturography, *Otolaryngology—Head and Neck Surgery*, July 1998; 119(1): 89-92.
5. Baloh RW, et al. Comparison of static and dynamic posturography in young and older normal people, *Journal of American Geriatric Society*, April 1994; 42(4): 405-412.
6. Baloh RW, et al. Posturography and balance problems in older people, *Journal of the American Geriatric Society*, June 1995; 43(6): 638-644.
7. Baloh, RW. Static and dynamic posturography in patients with vestibular and cerebellar lesions, *Archives of Neurology*, May 1998; 55(5): 649-654.
8. Bhattacharyya N, Baugh RF, Orvidas L, et al. Clinical Practice guideline: Benign paroxysmal positional vertigo. *Otolaryngology—Head and Neck Surgery* (2008);139:S47-S81.
9. Biggan JR, Melton F, Horvat MA et al. Increased load computerized dynamic posturography in pre-frail and non-frail community dwelling older adults. *J aging phys Act* 2013.
10. Blue Cross Blue Shield Association. Technology Evaluation Criteria (TEC) Assessment 1996, Tab 11.
11. Bogaerts A, Verschueren S, Delecluse C, et al. Effects of whole body vibration training on postural control in older individuals: A 1 year randomized controlled trial. *Gait & Posture*, July 2007; 26(2): 309-316.
12. Brown KE, Whitney SL, Marchetti, GF, et al. Physical therapy for central vestibular dysfunction. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*, January 2000; 81(1): 76-81.
13. Buatois S, Gueguen R, Gauchard GC, et al. Posturography and risk of recurrent falls in healthy non-institutionalized persons aged over 65. *Gerontology*, January 2006; 52(6): 345-352.
14. Carter ND, et al. Community-based exercise program reduces risk factors for falls in 65-to-75-year old women with osteoporosis: Randomized controlled trial, *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, October 2002, Vol. 167, No. 9.
15. Clendaniel RA. Practical issues in the management of the dizzy and balance disorder patient, *Otolaryngologic Clinics of North America*, June 2000, Vol. 33, No. 3.
16. Cohen HS, et al. Decreased ataxia and improved balance after vestibular rehabilitation, *Otolaryngology—Head and Neck Surgery*, April 2004; 130(4): 418-425.

17. Cohen HS, et al. Factors affecting recovery after acoustic neuroma resection, *Acta Oto-Laryngologica*, December 2002; 122(8): 841-850.
18. Ebersbach G, Gunkel M. Posturography reflects clinical imbalance in Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* 2011; 26(2):241-6.
19. Evans MK, et al. Posturography does not test vestibulospinal function, *Otolaryngology—Head and Neck Surgery*, February 1999; 120(2): 164-173.
20. Furman JM. Role of posturography in the management of vestibular patients, *Otolaryngology—Head and Neck Surgery*, January 1995; 112(1): 8-15.
21. Ganesan M, Pasha SA, Pal PK et al. Direction specific preserved limits of stability in early progressive supranuclear palsy: a dynamic posturographic study. *Gait Posture* 2012; 35(4):625-9.
22. Girardi M, et al. Predicting fall risks in an elderly population : Computer dynamic posturography versus electronystagmography test results, *Laryngoscope*, September 2001; 111(9): 1528-1532.
23. Hirsch MA, et al. The effects of balance training and high-intensity resistance training on persons with idiopathic Parkinson's disease, *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, August 2003; 84(8): 1109-1117.
24. Honaker JA, Converse CM, Shepard NT. Modified head shake computerized dynamic posturography. *American Journal of Audiology*, 2009 Dec;18(2):108-13.
25. Lee JM, Koh SB, Chae SW et al. Postural instability and cognitive dysfunction in early Parkinson's disease. *Can J Neurol Sci* 2012; 39(4):473-82.
26. Lim KB, Lee HJ. Computerized posturographic measurement in elderly women with unilateral knee osteoarthritis. *Ann Rehabil Med* 2012;36(5):618-26.
27. Ludin F, Ledin T, Wikkelso C et al. Postural function in idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus before and after shunt surgery: a controlled study using computerized dynamic posturography (EquiTest). *Clin Neurol Neurosurg* 2013;115(9):1626-31.
28. Marchetti GF, et al. Older adults and balance dysfunction, *Neurologic Clinics*, August 2005, Vol. 23, No. 3.
29. Nocera J, Horvat M and Ray CT. Effects of home-based exercise on postural control and sensory organization in individuals with Parkinson disease. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord*, December 2009; 15(10): 742-745
30. O'Neill DE, et al. Posturography changes do not predict functional performance changes, *American Journal of Otology*, November 1998; 19(6): 797-803.
31. Pierchala K, Lachowska M, Morawski K et al. Sensory Organization Test outcomes in young, older and elderly healthy individuals - preliminary results. *Otolaryngol Pol* 2012; 66(4):274-79.
32. Qutubuddin AA, Cifu DX, et al. A comparison of computerized dynamic posturography therapy to standard balance physical therapy in individuals with Parkinson's disease: A pilot study. *NeuroRehabilitation* 2007; 22(4): 261-265.
33. Reid VA, et al. Using posturography to detect unsteadiness in 13 patients with peripheral neuropathy : A pilot study, *Neurology and Clinical Neurophysiology*, January 2002; 2002(4): 2-8.
34. Rubin AM, et al. The assessment and management of the dizzy patient, *Otolaryngologic Clinics of North America*, April 2002, Vol. 35, No. 2.
35. Ruckenstein MJ, et al. Practical issues in the management of the dizzy and balance disorder patient, *Otolaryngologic Clinics of North America*, June 2000, Vol. 33, No. 3.

36. Sinaki M, Lynn SG. Reducing the risk of falls through proprioceptive dynamic posture training in osteoporotic women with kyphotic posturing: a randomized pilot study. *American Journal of Physical and Medical Rehabilitation* 2002 Apr;81(4):241-6.
37. Teggi R, Caldirola D, Fabiano B, et al. Rehabilitation after acute vestibular disorders. *J Laryngol Otol*, April 2009; 123(4): 397-402.
38. Visser JE, Oude Nijhuis LB, Janssen L, et al. Dynamic posturography in Parkinson's disease: diagnostic utility of the "first trial effect". *Neuroscience*. 2010 Jun 30;168(2):387-94 Epub 2010 Apr 7.
39. Whitney SL, Marchetti GF and Schade AI. The relationship between falls history and computerized dynamic posturography in persons with balance and vestibular disorders. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*, March 2006; 87(3): 402-407.

Policy History:

Medical Policy Group, March 2006 **(3)**

Medical Policy Administration Committee, April 2006

Available for comment May 5-June 19, 2006

Medical Policy Group, March 2007 **(1)**

Key Points updated, references added: March 2008 **(1)**

Medical Policy Group, March 2009 **(1)**

Medical Policy Group, March 2010 **(1)** Key points updated, no policy change, references added

Medical Policy Group, November 2010 **(1)** Description updated, Approved Governing Bodies updated, References updated

Medical Policy Group, November 2011 **(1)** Update to Key Points and References; no changes in policy statement

Medical Policy Group, November 2012 **(1)**: Update to Key Points and References; no changes in Policy statement.

Medical Policy Panel, November 2013

Medical Policy Group, January 2014 **(2)** No change to policy statement. Update to Key Points and References based on latest literature search.

This medical policy is not an authorization, certification, explanation of benefits, or a contract. Eligibility and benefits are determined on a case-by-case basis according to the terms of the member's plan in effect as of the date services are rendered. All medical policies are based on (i) research of current medical literature and (ii) review of common medical practices in the treatment and diagnosis of disease as of the date hereof. Physicians and other providers are solely responsible for all aspects of medical care and treatment, including the type, quality, and levels of care and treatment.

This policy is intended to be used for adjudication of claims (including pre-admission certification, pre-determinations, and pre-procedure review) in Blue Cross and Blue Shield's administration of plan contracts.