Department of Health and Human Services

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON CLAIMED ALLOWABLE EQUIPMENT COSTS UNDER NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH GRANTS

Inquiries about this report may be addressed to the Office of Public Affairs at Public.Affairs@oig.hhs.gov.



Sheri L. Fulcher Regional Inspector General

> April 2013 A-05-12-00076

Office of Inspector General

https://oig.hhs.gov

The mission of the Office of Inspector General (OIG), as mandated by Public Law 95-452, as amended, is to protect the integrity of the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) programs, as well as the health and welfare of beneficiaries served by those programs. This statutory mission is carried out through a nationwide network of audits, investigations, and inspections conducted by the following operating components:

Office of Audit Services

The Office of Audit Services (OAS) provides auditing services for HHS, either by conducting audits with its own audit resources or by overseeing audit work done by others. Audits examine the performance of HHS programs and/or its grantees and contractors in carrying out their respective responsibilities and are intended to provide independent assessments of HHS programs and operations. These assessments help reduce waste, abuse, and mismanagement and promote economy and efficiency throughout HHS.

Office of Evaluation and Inspections

The Office of Evaluation and Inspections (OEI) conducts national evaluations to provide HHS, Congress, and the public with timely, useful, and reliable information on significant issues. These evaluations focus on preventing fraud, waste, or abuse and promoting economy, efficiency, and effectiveness of departmental programs. To promote impact, OEI reports also present practical recommendations for improving program operations.

Office of Investigations

The Office of Investigations (OI) conducts criminal, civil, and administrative investigations of fraud and misconduct related to HHS programs, operations, and beneficiaries. With investigators working in all 50 States and the District of Columbia, OI utilizes its resources by actively coordinating with the Department of Justice and other Federal, State, and local law enforcement authorities. The investigative efforts of OI often lead to criminal convictions, administrative sanctions, and/or civil monetary penalties.

Office of Counsel to the Inspector General

The Office of Counsel to the Inspector General (OCIG) provides general legal services to OIG, rendering advice and opinions on HHS programs and operations and providing all legal support for OIG's internal operations. OCIG represents OIG in all civil and administrative fraud and abuse cases involving HHS programs, including False Claims Act, program exclusion, and civil monetary penalty cases. In connection with these cases, OCIG also negotiates and monitors corporate integrity agreements. OCIG renders advisory opinions, issues compliance program guidance, publishes fraud alerts, and provides other guidance to the health care industry concerning the anti-kickback statute and other OIG enforcement authorities.

Notices

THIS REPORT IS AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC

at https://oig.hhs.gov

Section 8L of the Inspector General Act, 5 U.S.C. App., requires that OIG post its publicly available reports on the OIG Web site.

OFFICE OF AUDIT SERVICES FINDINGS AND OPINIONS

The designation of financial or management practices as questionable, a recommendation for the disallowance of costs incurred or claimed, and any other conclusions and recommendations in this report represent the findings and opinions of OAS. Authorized officials of the HHS operating divisions will make final determination on these matters.

INTRODUCTION

The University of Wisconsin claimed \$6,597,237 in allowable equipment costs under the terms of its NIH grants and complied with all applicable Federal regulations.

WHY WE DID THIS REVIEW

During a prior audit of the University of Wisconsin–Madison we found that the University claimed Federal reimbursement for equipment costs exceeding \$25,000 that were significantly rebudgeted and did not receive prior approval from the National Institutes of Health (NIH). As a result of that audit, *University of Wisconsin–Madison Claimed Allowable Costs Under Recovery Act Grants (A-05-11-00102, Issued September 27, 2012*), we conducted an audit of equipment purchases exceeding \$25,000.

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this review was to determine whether equipment purchases exceeding \$25,000 funded through NIH grants were allowable under the terms and conditions of the grants and applicable Federal regulations.

BACKGROUND

The NIH is the steward of medical and behavioral research for the nation. Its mission is science in pursuit of fundamental knowledge about the nature and behavior of living systems and the application of that knowledge to extend healthy life and reduce the burdens of illness and disability. Research supported through the issuance of grants and cooperative agreements enable NIH to fulfill its mission to make medical discoveries that improve health and save lives.

NIH grants and cooperative agreements are awarded as discretionary grants. NIH has the authority to determine the recipient of the grant and/or cooperative agreement and the amount awarded. NIH programs are legislatively authorized by Congress, which also defines the purpose and policy, authorization of appropriations, allotment of funds, limitation on assistance, and other things, for the programs. Furthermore, each Institute and Center establishes specific goals for the unit, grant programs, and activities.

The University of Wisconsin–Madison (the grantee), founded in 1848, is Wisconsin's comprehensive teaching and research university with a statewide, national, and international mission. The grantee made 44 equipment purchases, exceeding \$25,000, with NIH funds in the amount of \$6,597,237. The purchase period was January 1, 2011, through December 31, 2011.

HOW WE CONDUCTED THIS REVIEW

We limited our review to 44 pieces of equipment exceeding \$25,000 in cost that were purchased with NIH grant funds during the period January 1, 2011, through December 31, 2011. The grantee claimed \$6,597,237 for the 44 pieces of equipment. We did not perform an overall assessment of the grantee's internal control structure. Rather, we limited our evaluation of the

grantee's procurement process to obtaining an understanding of internal control as it relates to the specific objective and scope of the audit.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Appendix A contains details of our audit scope and methodology. Appendix B contains details on the Federal requirements related to NIH grantees.

We conducted field work at the grantee's administrative office in Madison, Wisconsin during August and October 2012.

RESULTS OF REVIEW

The \$6,597,237 in costs covered by our review were allowable under the terms of the grants and applicable Federal regulations. Accordingly, this report contains no recommendations.

APPENDIX A: SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY

SCOPE

We limited our review to 44 pieces of equipment exceeding \$25,000 in cost that were purchased with NIH grant funds during the period January 1, 2011, through December 31, 2011. The grantee claimed \$6,597,237 for the 44 pieces of equipment. We did not perform an overall assessment of the grantee's internal control structure. Rather, we limited our evaluation of the grantee's procurement process to obtaining an understanding of internal control as it relates to the specific objective and scope of the audit.

We conducted field work at the grantee's administrative office in Madison, Wisconsin during August and October 2012.

METHODOLOGY

To accomplish our objective, we:

- reviewed applicable Federal laws, regulations, and program guidance;
- requested a listing of equipment purchases for all NIH grants for the audit period;
- summarized equipment purchases that exceeded \$25,000;
- interviewed grantee officials;
- determined if the purchase of any particular unit of equipment was split across different grants;
- determined whether duplicate purchases of equipment were improperly charged to the same grant or different grants;
- held discussions with university staff to determine whether equipment costs were reasonable;
- determined whether equipment was placed in the inventory records and noted as being purchased with Federal funds; and
- determined if prior approval was sought from NIH for equipment purchases in excess of \$25,000 if the equipment was not included in the approved grant award budget.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

APPENDIX B: FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH GRANTEES

Federal Regulations and National Institutes of Health Policies

The allowability of costs incurred by institutions of higher education are determined in accordance with the cost principles contained in 2 CFR pt. 220 (Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-21), *Cost Principles for Educational Institutions*, as required by 45 CFR § 74.27.

NIH provides additional guidance through the *National Institutes of Health Grants Policy Statement* (NIH Grants Policy Statement). The Grants Policy Statement provides NIH grantees, in a single document, the policy requirements that serve as the terms and conditions of NIH grant awards. The Grants Policy Statement provides general information, application information, and specifies the terms and conditions that apply to particular types of grants, grantees, and activities that differ from, supplement, or elaborate on the standard terms and conditions.