

Medical Policy



An Independent Licensee of the
Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association

Title: Measurement of Lipoprotein-Associated Phospholipase A2 (Lp-PLA2) in the Assessment of Cardiovascular Risk

Professional

Original Effective Date: March 13, 2009
Revision Date(s): February 10, 2011;
July 19, 2011; August 13, 2012;
October 31, 2013
Current Effective Date: March 13, 2009

Institutional

Original Effective Date: March 13, 2009
Revision Date(s): February 10, 2011;
July 19, 2011; August 13, 2012;
October 31, 2013
Current Effective Date: March 13, 2009

State and Federal mandates and health plan member contract language, including specific provisions/exclusions, take precedence over Medical Policy and must be considered first in determining eligibility for coverage. To verify a member's benefits, contact [Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas Customer Service](#).

The BCBSKS Medical Policies contained herein are for informational purposes and apply only to members who have health insurance through BCBSKS or who are covered by a self-insured group plan administered by BCBSKS. Medical Policy for FEP members is subject to FEP medical policy which may differ from BCBSKS Medical Policy.

The medical policies do not constitute medical advice or medical care. Treating health care providers are independent contractors and are neither employees nor agents of Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas and are solely responsible for diagnosis, treatment and medical advice.

If your patient is covered under a different Blue Cross and Blue Shield plan, please refer to the Medical Policies of that plan.

DESCRIPTION

Lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2 (Lp-PLA2), also known as platelet-activating factor acetylhydrolase, is an enzyme that hydrolyzes phospholipids and is primarily associated with low-density lipoproteins (LDLs). Accumulating evidence has suggested that Lp-PLA2 is a biomarker of coronary artery disease (CAD) and may have a proinflammatory role in the progression of atherosclerosis.

Background

Low-density lipoproteins (LDL) have been identified as the major atherogenic lipoproteins and have long been identified by the National Cholesterol Education Project (NCEP) as

the primary target of cholesterol-lowering therapy. LDL particles consist of a surface coat composed of phospholipids, free cholesterol, and apolipoproteins, surrounding an inner lipid core composed of cholesterol ester and triglycerides. Traditional lipid risk factors such as low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C), while predictive on a population basis, are weaker markers of risk on an individual basis. Only a minority of subjects with elevated LDL and cholesterol levels will develop clinical disease, and up to 50% of cases of coronary artery disease (CAD) occur in subjects with 'normal' levels of total and LDL cholesterol. Thus there is considerable potential to improve the accuracy of current cardiovascular risk prediction models.

Lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2 (Lp-PLA2), also known as platelet-activating factor acetylhydrolase, is an enzyme that hydrolyzes phospholipids and is primarily associated with LDLs. Accumulating evidence has suggested that Lp-PLA2 is a biomarker of CAD and may have a proinflammatory role in the progression of atherosclerosis. The recognition that atherosclerosis represents, in part, an inflammatory process has created considerable interest in measurement of proinflammatory factors as part of cardiovascular disease risk assessment.

Regulatory Status

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) cleared for marketing an enzyme-linked immunoabsorbent assay (ELISA) test, the PLAC test (diaDexus, San Francisco, CA), to measure levels of Lp-PLA2.

POLICY

Measurement of lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2 (Lp-PLA2) is considered **experimental / investigational**.

RATIONALE

The most recent update with literature review covers the period of April 2012 through May 15, 2013.

A large body of literature has accumulated on the utility of risk factors in the prediction of future cardiac events. The evidence reviewed for this policy statement consists of large, prospective cohort studies that have evaluated the association of lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2 (Lp-PLA2) with cardiovascular outcomes. A smaller amount of literature is available on the utility of Lp-PLA2 as a treatment target.

The National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) ATP-III guidelines (1) document notes that to determine their clinical significance, the emerging risk factors should be evaluated against the following criteria in order to determine their clinical significance:

- Significant predictive power that is independent of other major risk factors
- A relatively high prevalence in the population (justifying routine measurement in risk assessment)

- Laboratory or clinical measurement must be widely available, well standardized, inexpensive, have accepted population reference values, and be relatively stable biologically.
- Preferable, but not necessarily, modification of the risk factor in clinical trials will have shown reduction in risk.

A 2002 TEC Assessment (2) summarized the steps necessary to determine utility of a novel cardiac risk factor. Three steps were required:

- Standardization of the measurement of the risk factor
- Determination of its contribution to risk assessment. As a risk factor, it is important to determine whether the novel risk factor [...] independently contributes to risk assessment compared to established risk factors.
- Determination of how the novel risk assessment will be used in the management of the patient, compared to standard methods of assessing risk, and whether any subsequent changes in patient management result in an improvement in patient outcome.

Lp-PLA2 as a predictor of cardiovascular disease. Results of numerous, large-scale observational studies have examined whether lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2 (Lp-PLA2) is an independent risk factor for coronary heart disease. A representative sample of some of the larger studies is given below.

The Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration performed a patient-level meta-analysis of the association of novel lipid risk factors with cardiovascular risk. (3) Records from 37 prospective cohort studies enrolling 165,544 participants were combined to predict cardiovascular risk over a median follow-up of 10.4 years. The authors examined the independent association of markers with cardiovascular risk and the ability to reclassify risk into clinically relevant categories. For Lp-PLA2, there were 11 studies enrolling 32,075 participants that measured this factor. Overall, Lp-PLA2 was an independent risk factor for cardiovascular events with a hazard ratio of 1.12 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.09-1.21) for each 1 standard deviation (SD) increase in Lp-PLA2 activity. There was no significant improvement in risk reclassification following the addition of Lp-PLA2 to the reclassification model, with a net reclassification improvement of 0.21 (-0.45 to 0.86). The net reclassification improvement crossing 0.0 indicates that the addition of Lp-PLA2 to the model may result in either improvement or worsening of reclassification.

The West of Scotland Coronary Prevention Study (WOSCOPS) was a 5-year, case control trial evaluating 6,595 men with elevated cholesterol levels and no history of a heart attack. (4) Researchers looked at a smaller population of this study to determine if inflammatory markers such as Lp-PLA2 and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP) were correlated with coronary heart disease (CHD) events. The 580 men who went on to have a myocardial infarction (MI) or revascularization were compared to 1,160 age- and smoking-matched men who did not have an event. The results showed that those with the highest levels of Lp-PLA2 had twice the risk of an event compared to those with the lowest levels, even after adjustment for traditional risk factors and other inflammatory mediators.

The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study (5) evaluated the various risk markers and their association with increased risk in a large, diverse population of more than 12,000 individuals. At enrollment in the study, patients were free of CHD and were followed up for the development of the disease for the next 9 years. The case-cohort component of the study examined 2 inflammatory markers, Lp-PLA2 and hsCRP, in a subset of 608 cases and 740

controls. The results showed that elevated levels of Lp-PLA2 are higher in incident coronary heart disease cases. In individuals with nonelevated low-density lipoprotein (LDL) levels (less than 130 mg/dL), Lp-PLA2 levels were independently associated with CHD, even after adjustment for traditional risk factors and C-reactive protein. Koenig and colleagues (6) reported similar results in a study of 934 apparently healthy men aged 45 to 64 who were followed up between 1984 and 1998. During this period, 97 men experienced a coronary event. Elevated levels of Lp-PLA2 appeared to be predictive of future coronary events in middle-aged men with moderately elevated total cholesterol, independent of C-reactive protein.

Ballantyne and colleagues (7) studied Lp-PLA2 in the 12,762 apparently healthy individuals participating in the ARIC study. Mean levels of both Lp-PLA2 and C-reactive protein were higher in the 194 stroke cases; the authors concluded that Lp-PLA2 levels may provide complementary information beyond traditional risk factors in identifying those at risk for ischemic stroke. As part of the PEACE study, (8) Lp-PLA2 levels were measured in 3,766 patients with stable CAD followed up for a median of 4.8 years. After adjustment for other baseline risk factors, patients in the highest quartile of Lp-PLA2 were 1.4 times more likely (95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.17–1.70, $p < 0.001$) to experience an adverse cardiovascular outcome compared to patients in the lowest quartile. Winkler and colleagues (9) studied 3,232 consecutive patients referred for coronary angiography and reported that Lp-PLA2 levels were an independent predictor of cardiac mortality (hazard ratio: 2.0; 95% CI: 1.4–3.1, $p < 0.001$) after adjusting for established risk factors, including C-reactive protein and N-terminal b-natriuretic peptide. Persson and colleagues (10) evaluated the relationship between Lp-PLA2 and the metabolic syndrome in 4,480 nondiabetic patients without a history of coronary artery disease (CAD). Both Lp-PLA2 (relative risk [RR]: 1.54; 95% CI: 1.07–2.24) and the metabolic syndrome (RR: 1.42; 95% CI: 1.06–1.90) were significant predictors of a first cardiac event. The combination of both elevated Lp-PLA2 and metabolic syndrome conferred a further increase in risk (RR: 1.97; 95% CI: 1.34–2.90).

The Rancho Bernardo Study (11) enrolled 1,077 community-dwelling elderly individuals without known heart disease and followed up patients a mean of 16 years for the development of heart disease. Lp-PLA2 was an independent predictor of cardiac events, with a relative risk for patients in the second, third, and fourth quartiles of 1.66, 1.80, and 1.89, respectively, compared with the first quartile.

Most, but not all, observational studies reported a positive association of Lp-PLA2 with cardiovascular outcomes. Allison and colleagues (12) studied 508 patients with peripheral vascular disease followed for an average of 6.7 years. While there was a modest univariate association of Lp-PLA2 with cardiovascular events, this association disappeared after adjustment for established risk factors. In the Rotterdam Coronary Calcification Study, (13) similar results were reported. This population-based study followed 520 patients for 7 years and evaluated the association between Lp-PLA2 and coronary calcification by electron-beam computed tomography scan. The unadjusted odds ratio (OR) for each standard deviation (SD) increase in Lp-PLA2 was 1.6 (95% CI: 1.1–2.4); however, this association became nonsignificant after controlling for lipid levels.

Some studies have specifically evaluated Lp-PLA2 as a risk factor in the diabetic population. For example, Saremi et al. (14) performed a substudy of the Veterans Affairs Diabetes trial (VADT) examining risk factors that predicted the progression of coronary artery calcification over an average of 4.6 years of follow-up. Lp-PLA2 mass was 1 of 2 significant independent predictors

that remained ($p=0.01$) after adjustment for standard risk factors. Hatoum et al. (15) evaluated Lp-PLA2 as a risk factor for incident coronary heart disease in 1,517 diabetic patients enrolled in the Health Profession Follow-Up Study. After adjustment for standard risk factors, the RR for incident CHD for the upper quartile of Lp-PLA2 activity compared to the lower quartile was 1.39 (95% CI: 1.01-1.90, $p=0.03$).

Other studies have correlated Lp-PLA2 levels with different parameters of cardiovascular disease. Multiple publications have reported that Lp-PLA2 levels are associated with characteristics of “vulnerable atherosclerotic plaques,” both in the coronary (16) and in the carotid arteries (17). A subsequent publication also found an association between Lp-PLA2 levels and plaque rupture. (18)

A number of systematic reviews have been published that summarize the observational studies on the association of Lp-PLA2 and cardiovascular disease. (19-21) For example, Garza and colleagues (19) reviewed 14 observational studies enrolling 20,549 patients. This study reported the predictive ability of Lp-PLA2 levels for cardiovascular disease after adjustment for traditional cardiac risk factors. The combined odds ratio (OR) for an elevated Lp-PLA2 was reported as 1.60 (95% CI: 1.36–1.89) for the development of future cardiac events. A patient-level meta-analysis (20) evaluated the association between Lp-PLA2 levels, CAD, stroke, and mortality. A total of 79,036 participants from 32 prospective studies were included in this report. There were significant associations found between Lp-PLA2 and all 3 outcome measures. For every 1 SD increase in Lp-PLA2 levels, the RR adjusted for conventional risk factors was 1.10 (95% CI: 1.04-1.17) for CAD, 1.08 (95% CI: 0.97–1.20) for stroke, and 1.16 (95% CI: 1.09-1.24) for vascular death. There was also a significant association found between Lp-PLA2 levels and non-vascular deaths (RR: 1.10, 95% CI: 1.04–1.17). The authors estimated that this strength of association was similar to that seen for non-high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol and systolic blood pressure.

Numerous additional studies were identified that evaluated Lp-PLA2 as a risk factor for cardiovascular outcomes, including some that have been published more recently than the systematic reviews discussed above. (22, 23) The majority of these evaluated Lp-PLA2 as an independent risk factor for coronary disease in various patient populations.

Another study evaluated the discriminatory ability of Lp-PLA2 for incident CHD in 421 cases and 800 controls from the Nurses’ Health Study. (24) Lp-PLA2 was a significant predictor of CHD after adjustment for traditional risk factors with a RR of 1.75 (95% CI: 1.09-2.84). It also added significantly to the discriminatory ability, as judged by an increase in the area under the curve from 0.720 without Lp-PLA2 to 0.733 with Lp-PLA2, and improved the net reclassification improvement index for discriminating between patients with and without CHD ($p=0.004$).

Conclusions. There is a large amount of evidence establishing that Lp-PLA2 levels are an independent predictor of cardiovascular risk factors, physiologic measures of cardiac disease, and cardiovascular events. This association has been demonstrated in a variety of clinical populations, in individuals both with and without cardiovascular disease. The evidence on the ability of Lp-PLA2 to reclassify patients into clinically relevant categories is less convincing, with the largest patient-level meta-analysis reporting no significant improvement.

Lp-PLA2 as treatment target. Interventional studies involving Lp-PLA2 suggest that the level of Lp-PLA2 is modifiable by antihyperlipidemic drugs (e.g., statins, fibrates, and niacin). An ad hoc study of the PROVE IT-TIMI 22 (Pravastatin or Atorvastatin Evaluation and Infection Therapy—Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction) trial, (25) in which Lp-PLA2 levels were measured at baseline (n=3,648) and at 30 days (n=3,265) and patients were followed up for a mean of 24 months for death, MI, unstable angina, revascularization, or stroke, suggested that patients randomized to atorvastatin 80 mg/day, but not pravastatin 40 mg/day, experienced a 20% reduction of Lp-PLA2 levels at 30 days, independent of other cardiac risk factors. The 30-day, Lp-PLA2 level was independently associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular events. Another ad hoc study from the DIACOR (Diabetes and Combined Lipid Therapy Regimen) trial (26) demonstrated improved Lp-PLA2 levels (overall 16.8% reduction) compared to baseline, with no difference found between treatment groups among the 300 patients with diabetes and mixed dyslipidemias randomized to either fenofibrate 160 mg/day, simvastatin 20 mg/day, or both, for 12 weeks.

Rosenson randomized 55 hyperlipidemic subjects with metabolic syndrome to fenofibrate or placebo. (27) Fenofibrate treatment was associated with a 13% reduction in Lp-PLA2 mass compared to placebo. Saougos et al. studied the effect of 3 lipid-lowering agents, rosuvastatin, ezetimibe, and fenofibrate, on Lp-PLA2 levels. (28) All 3 agents significantly lowered Lp-PLA2 levels; fenofibrate also selectively increased HDL-associated Lp-PLA2 levels.

At least 2 clinical trials have examined the change in Lp-PLA2 levels in patients treated with statins versus placebo and evaluated whether the utility of Lp-PLA2 for risk stratification is modified by statin treatment. (29, 30) Ridker et al. analyzed the changes in Lp-PLA2 levels among patients in the JUPITER trial, a randomized controlled trial (RCT) of 17,802 individuals randomized to rosuvastatin or placebo. (29) Among patients assigned to rosuvastatin, Lp-PLA2 mass decreased by 33.8%. In the placebo group, Lp-PLA2 levels were predictive of subsequent cardiac events, but this was not true in the rosuvastatin group. In a similar analysis of the MIRACL RCT, Ryu et al. analyzed 2,587 patients treated with high-dose atorvastatin or placebo. (30) Atorvastatin reduced Lp-PLA2 levels in 2,587 patients treated with high-dose atorvastatin or placebo. Atorvastatin reduced Lp-PLA2 mass by 32.1% and Lp-PLA2 activity by 29.5%. In the placebo group, Lp-PLA2 levels were predictive of adverse cardiac outcomes, but no relationship was found in the atorvastatin group. The authors estimated that treatment with statins reduced the attributable risk of death due to Lp-PLA2 by approximately 50%.

Preliminary clinical trials of Lp-PLA2 inhibitors have been published, although none of the Lp-PLA2 inhibitors have been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for any indication. Darapladib was the first drug of this class that was tested. Mohler et al. randomized 959 patients with hyperlipidemia receiving atorvastatin to placebo or 1 of 3 doses of darapladib. (31) Dose-dependent inhibition of Lp-PLA2 was noted, ranging from 43% to 66% compared with placebo. The inflammatory markers interleukin-6 and hsCRP were also reduced by 12.3% and 13%, respectively. Serruys et al. randomized 330 patients with documented CAD to darapladib or placebo and reported the impact of 12 months of treatment with darapladib on Lp-PLA2 levels, hsCRP levels, and coronary plaque composition, as measured by intravascular ultrasound. (32) This study found no difference in plaque deformability but a reduction in plaque necrotic core was reported for the darapladib group. Lp-PLA2 levels were decreased by 59%, but there were no significant differences in hsCRP levels between groups.

A second phospholipase A2 inhibitor, varespladib, is also being tested in early clinical trials. There are at least 2 Phase III studies underway on these agents. (33) The STABILITY trial is a randomized, double-blind placebo-controlled trial of darapladib for patients with chronic CAD. The trial is projected to enroll more than 15,000 patients from 800 clinical centers worldwide and report on the primary outcome of major adverse cardiovascular events. The FRANCIS-ACS trial is a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of varespladib for patients with acute coronary syndrome. This trial is projected to enroll 700 patients, with follow-up for at least 24 weeks, and report on the primary endpoint of major cardiovascular events.

Trials of Lp-PLA2 continue to be ongoing. A third Phase III trial was identified using darapladib as treatment for CAD. (34) The Stabilization of plaques using Darapladib – Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 52 (SOLID-TIMI 52) trial will enroll approximately 11,500 participants within 30 days of acute MI. Participants will be randomized to darapladib or placebo and followed for 3 years for the outcomes of cardiovascular death, nonfatal MI, or stroke. This trial is currently in the recruitment phase.

Conclusions. Levels of Lp-PLA2 decrease substantially following treatment with anti-lipid medications, including statins. However, there are not currently well-accepted thresholds for using Lp-PLA2 as a treatment target. Some studies have reported that treatment with statins eliminates the predictive ability of Lp-PLA2 as a treatment target; this may potentially reduce the potential of Lp-PLA2 for this purpose.

Inhibitors of Lp-PLA2 have been developed and tested in clinical trials, but no trials have demonstrated an improvement in health outcomes with these inhibitors. Further Phase III clinical trials are ongoing.

Summary

There is a large body of literature evaluating lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2 (Lp-PLA2) as a predictor of cardiovascular risk. These studies demonstrate that Lp-PLA2 is an independent predictor of cardiovascular disease but do not demonstrate that health outcomes are improved as a result of measuring Lp-PLA2. Improved risk prediction does not by itself result in improved health outcomes. To improve outcomes, clinicians must have the tools to incorporate emerging risk factors into existing risk prediction models, and these models should demonstrate improved classification into risk categories that will lead to more appropriate treatment. These tools are not currently available to the practicing clinician for Lp-PLA2. As a result, use of Lp-PLA2 for risk stratification for cardiovascular disease is considered investigational.

Clinical trials of Lp-PLA2 inhibitors are a new line of research with therapeutic potential. However, the available trials are preliminary, reporting only on physiologic outcomes such as reduction in high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP), and use a pharmacologic agent that is not yet approved for use in the U.S. At least 3 Phase III clinical trials that utilize clinical outcomes as the primary endpoint(s) are currently in progress, and results from these may be available starting in 2012. Therefore, Lp-PLA2 has not demonstrated improved outcomes as a treatment target and is considered investigational for this purpose.

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

The American College of Cardiology Foundation (ACCF) and American Heart Association (AHA) published joint guidelines on the assessment of cardiovascular risk in asymptomatic patients in 2010. (35) The guidelines contained the following statement concerning testing for LpA-PLA2:

- Lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2 might be reasonable for cardiovascular risk assessment in intermediate-risk asymptomatic adults. (*Class IIb recommendation; Level of Evidence B*)

The American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (AACE) published guidelines for the management of dyslipidemia and prevention of atherosclerosis in 2012. (36) These guidelines made the following recommendations for LpA-PLA2 testing:

- Assess markers of inflammation in patients where further stratification of risk is necessary. Highly sensitive CRP and Lp-PLA2 provide useful information in these instances and appear to be synergistic in predicting risk of CVD and stroke. (*Grade B recommendation; best level of evidence 1*)
- Measure Lp-PLA2, which in some studies has demonstrated more specificity than highly sensitive CRP [hsCRP], when it is necessary to further stratify a patient's CVD risk, especially in the presence of systemic highly sensitive CRP elevations (*Grade B recommendation; best level of evidence 2*).

CODING

The following codes for treatment and procedures applicable to this policy are included below for informational purposes. Inclusion or exclusion of a procedure, diagnosis or device code(s) does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement. Please refer to the member's contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage of these services as it applies to an individual member.

CPT/HCPCS

83698 Lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2 (Lp-PLA2)

DIAGNOSIS

Experimental / investigational for all diagnoses related to this policy.

REVISIONS

02-10-2011	Updated Description section
	In Coding section: Removed CPT code 83516
	Updated Rationale section
	Updated References section
07-19-2011	Updated Description section
	Updated Rationale section
	Updated References section
08-13-2012	Updated Description section
	Updated Rationale section
	Updated References section

10-31-2013	Description section reviewed
	Rationale section updated
	In Coding section: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Removed Coding information bullet of "Effective January 1, 2007, there is a specific CPT code for this test: 83698."
	References updated

REFERENCES

1. National Cholesterol Education Program Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults. Adult Treatment Panel III guidelines. 2001. Available online at: <http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/guidelines/cholesterol/atp3xsum.pdf>. . Last accessed May 2012.
2. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). C-Reactive Protein as a Cardiac Risk Marker (Special Report). TEC Assessments 2002; Volume 17, Tab 23.
3. Di Angelantonio E, Gao P, Pennells L, et al. Lipid-Related Markers and Cardiovascular Disease Prediction. JAMA 2012; 307(23):2499-506.
4. Packard CJ, O'Reilly DS, Caslake MJ et al. Lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2 as an independent predictor of coronary heart disease. West of Scotland Coronary Prevention Study Group. N. Engl. J. Med. 2000; 343(16):1148-55.
5. Ballantyne CM, Hoogeveen RC, Bang H et al. Lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, and risk for incident coronary heart disease in middle-aged men and women in the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study. Circulation 2004; 109(7):837-42.
6. Koenig W, Khuseyinova N, Lowel H et al. Lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2 adds to risk prediction of incident coronary events by C-reactive protein in apparently healthy middle-aged men from the general population: results from the 14-year follow-up of a large cohort from southern Germany. Circulation 2004; 110(14):1903-8.
7. Ballantyne CM, Hoogeveen RC, Bang H et al. Lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, and risk for incident ischemic stroke in middle-aged men and women in the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study. Arch. Intern. Med. 2005; 165(21):2479-84.
8. Sabatine MS, Morrow DA, O'Donoghue M et al. Prognostic utility of lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2 for cardiovascular outcomes in patients with stable coronary artery disease. Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol. 2007; 27(11):2463-9.
9. Winkler K, Hoffmann MM, Winkelmann BR et al. Lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2 predicts 5-year cardiac mortality independently of established risk factors and adds prognostic information in patients with low and medium high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (the Ludwigshafen risk and cardiovascular health study). Clin. Chem. 2007; 53(8):1440-7.
10. Persson M, Hedblad B, Nelson JJ et al. Elevated Lp-PLA2 levels add prognostic information to the metabolic syndrome on incidence of cardiovascular events among middle-aged nondiabetic subjects. Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol. 2007; 27(6):1411-6.
11. Daniels LB, Laughlin GA, Sarno MJ et al. Lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2 is an independent predictor of incident coronary heart disease in an apparently healthy older population: the Rancho Bernardo Study. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 2008; 51(9):913-9.

12. Allison MA, Denenberg JO, Nelson JJ et al. The association between lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2 and cardiovascular disease and total mortality in vascular medicine patients. *J. Vasc. Surg.* 2007; 46(3):500-6.
13. Kardys I, Oei HH, Hofman A et al. Lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2 and coronary calcification. The Rotterdam Coronary Calcification Study. *Atherosclerosis* 2007; 191(2):377-83.
14. Saremi A, Moritz TE, Anderson RJ et al. Rates and determinants of coronary and abdominal aortic artery calcium progression in the Veterans Affairs Diabetes Trial (VADT). *Diabetes Care* 2010; 33(12):2642-7.
15. Hatoum IJ, Hu FB, Nelson JJ et al. Lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2 activity and incident coronary heart disease among men and women with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes* 2010; 59(5):1239-43.
16. Liu YS, Hu XB, Li HZ et al. Association of lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A(2) with characteristics of vulnerable coronary atherosclerotic plaques. *Yonsei Med. J.* 2011; 52(6):914-22.
17. Sarlon-Bartoli G, Boudes A, Buffat C et al. Circulating lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2 in high-grade carotid stenosis: a new biomarker for predicting unstable plaque. *Eur. J. Vasc. Endovasc. Surg.* 2012; 43(2):154-9.
18. Liu CF, Qin L, Ren JY et al. Elevated plasma lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A(2) activity is associated with plaque rupture in patients with coronary artery disease. *Chin. Med. J. (Engl).* 2011; 124(16):2469-73.
19. Garza CA, Montori VM, McConnell JP et al. Association between lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2 and cardiovascular disease: a systematic review. *Mayo Clin. Proc.* 2007; 82(2):159-65.
20. Thompson A, Gao P, Orfei L et al. Lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A(2) and risk of coronary disease, stroke, and mortality: collaborative analysis of 32 prospective studies. *Lancet* 2010; 375(9725):1536-44.
21. Vittos O, Toana B, Vittos A et al. Lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2 (Lp-PLA2): a review of its role and significance as a cardiovascular biomarker. *Biomarkers* 2012; 17(4):289-302.
22. Cook NR, Paynter NP, Manson JE et al. Clinical utility of lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A(2) for cardiovascular disease prediction in a multiethnic cohort of women. *Clin Chem* 2012; 58(9):1352-63.
23. Lind L, Simon T, Johansson L et al. Circulating levels of secretory- and lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2 activities: relation to atherosclerotic plaques and future all-cause mortality. *Eur Heart J* 2012; 33(23):2946-54.
24. Hatoum IJ, Cook NR, Nelson JJ et al. Lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2 activity improves risk discrimination of incident coronary heart disease among women. *Am. Heart J.* 2011; 161(3):516-22.
25. O'Donoghue M, Morrow DA, Sabatine MS et al. Lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2 and its association with cardiovascular outcomes in patients with acute coronary syndromes in the PROVE IT-TIMI 22 (PRavastatin Or atorVastatin Evaluation and Infection Therapy-Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction) trial. *Circulation* 2006; 113(14):1745-52.
26. Muhlestein JB, May HT, Jensen JR et al. The reduction of inflammatory biomarkers by statin, fibrate, and combination therapy among diabetic patients with mixed dyslipidemia: the DIACOR (Diabetes and Combined Lipid Therapy Regimen) study. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2006; 48(2):396-401.

27. Rosenson RS. Fenofibrate reduces lipoprotein associated phospholipase A2 mass and oxidative lipids in hypertriglyceridemic subjects with the metabolic syndrome. *Am. Heart J.* 2008; 155(3):499 e9-16.
28. Saougos VG, Tambaki AP, Kalogirou M et al. Differential effect of hypolipidemic drugs on lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* 2007; 27(10):2236-43.
29. Ridker PM, Macfadyen JG, Wolfert RL et al. Relationship of Lipoprotein-Associated Phospholipase A2 Mass and Activity with Incident Vascular Events among Primary Prevention Patients Allocated to Placebo or to Statin Therapy: An Analysis from the JUPITER Trial. *Clin. Chem.* 2012; 58(5):877-86.
30. Ryu SK, Mallat Z, Benessiano J et al. Phospholipase A2 enzymes, high-dose atorvastatin, and prediction of ischemic events after acute coronary syndromes. *Circulation* 2012; 125(6):757-66.
31. Mohler ER, 3rd, Ballantyne CM, Davidson MH et al. The effect of darapladib on plasma lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2 activity and cardiovascular biomarkers in patients with stable coronary heart disease or coronary heart disease risk equivalent: the results of a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2008; 51(17):1632-41.
32. Serruys PW, Garcia-Garcia HM, Buszman P et al. Effects of the direct lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A(2) inhibitor darapladib on human coronary atherosclerotic plaque. *Circulation* 2008; 118(11):1172-82.
33. Suckling KE. Phospholipase A2 inhibitors in the treatment of atherosclerosis: a new approach moves forward in the clinic. *Expert Opin Investig Drugs* 2009; 18(10):1425-30.
34. Corson MA. Darapladib: an emerging therapy for atherosclerosis. *Ther Adv Cardiovasc Dis* 2010; 4(4):241-8.
35. Greenland P, Alpert JS, Beller GA et al. 2010 ACCF/AHA guideline for assessment of cardiovascular risk in asymptomatic adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2010; 56(25):e50-103.
36. Jellinger PS, Smith DA, Mehta AE et al. American association of clinical endocrinologists' guidelines for management of dyslipidemia and prevention of atherosclerosis. *Endocr Pract* 2012; 18 Suppl 1:1-78.