

POLICY TITLE	SYSTEMS PATHOLOGY FOR PREDICTING RISK OF RECURRENCE IN PROSTATE CANCER
POLICY NUMBER	MP-2.237

Original Issue Date (Created):	August 1, 2010
Most Recent Review Date (Revised):	March 25, 2014
Effective Date:	June 1, 2014

[POLICY RATIONALE](#)
[DISCLAIMER](#)
[POLICY HISTORY](#)

[PRODUCT VARIATIONS](#)
[DEFINITIONS](#)
[CODING INFORMATION](#)

[DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND](#)
[BENEFIT VARIATIONS](#)
[REFERENCES](#)

I. POLICY

Use of tests utilizing systems pathology that uses cellular and biologic features of a tumor is considered **investigational**, including using in predicting risk of recurrence in patients with prostate cancer. There is insufficient evidence to support a conclusion concerning the health outcomes or benefits associated with this procedure.

Cross-reference:

MP- 2.039 Tumor Markers
 MP-2.151 Cellular Immunotherapy for Prostate Cancer

II. PRODUCT VARIATIONS

[TOP](#)

[N] = No product variation, policy applies as stated

[Y] = Standard product coverage varies from application of this policy, see below

- | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|
| [N] Capital Cares 4 Kids | [N] Indemnity |
| [N] PPO | [N] SpecialCare |
| [N] HMO | [N] POS |
| [N] SeniorBlue HMO | [Y] FEP PPO* |
| [N] SeniorBlue PPO | |

*Refer to FEP Medical Policy Manual MP-2.04.64 Systems Pathology for Predicting Risk of Recurrence in Prostate Cancer. The FEP Medical Policy manual can be found at: www.fepblue.org.

POLICY TITLE	SYSTEMS PATHOLOGY FOR PREDICTING RISK OF RECURRENCE IN PROSTATE CANCER
POLICY NUMBER	MP-2.237

III. DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND

[TOP](#)

Systems pathology, an approach that combines cellular and biologic features to standard clinical parameters such as age, clinical or pathologic stage, grade, percent of cancer on biopsy cores, and prostate-specific antigen or its derivatives, is proposed as a way to estimate the probability of disease progression, either prior to or following prostatectomy.

Predicting risk of recurrence in patients undergoing treatment for prostate cancer is difficult, as it is for most malignancies. Over time, risk models for patients with prostate cancer have evolved from early efforts that relied on grade, stage, and prostate-specific antigen (PSA) levels to complex multivariate models. A publication in 2008 indicates that there are more than 65 published, externally validated prostate cancer nomograms and other tools that use standard clinical parameters such as age, clinical or pathologic stage, grade, percent of cancer on biopsy cores, and PSA or its derivatives to predict various clinical and pathologic outcomes. (1)

Recent studies have begun to study a different approach by adding both cellular and biologic features to the clinical and pathological information noted above. This approach has been called “systems pathology.”

Aureon Laboratories offered two pathology tests called the Prostate Px+™ test and the Post-Op Px™ test (formerly called Prostate Px). Prostate Px+ was described as useful at diagnosis to patients considering surgery (radical prostatectomy) or other treatment options by providing physicians with objective information regarding the probability of disease progression. Post-Op Px estimated risk of PSA recurrence and disease progression after surgery. In October 2011, the company ceased operations and the tests are no longer offered.

IV. RATIONALE

[TOP](#)

Assessment of a diagnostic test, including tests that are used to predict clinical risk, typically focuses on 3 parameters: 1) technical performance; 2) diagnostic performance (sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive value) in appropriate populations of patients; and 3) demonstration that the diagnostic information can be used to improve patient outcomes (clinical utility).

Technical performance for such testing may compare test measurements with a gold standard and may also compare results taken on different occasions (test-retest).

Diagnostic performance is evaluated by the ability of a test to accurately predict the clinical outcome. The sensitivity of a test is the ability to detect a disease (determine an outcome)

POLICY TITLE	SYSTEMS PATHOLOGY FOR PREDICTING RISK OF RECURRENCE IN PROSTATE CANCER
POLICY NUMBER	MP-2.237

when the condition is present (true-positive), while the specificity is the ability to detect the absence of a disease or outcome when the disease is not present (true-negative).

A key aspect in evaluating clinical test performance is evidence related to improvement of clinical outcomes with use of this testing, that is, evidence that assesses the link between use of a test to changes in health outcomes (clinical utility). In a clinical area such a prostate cancer in which multiple tools to predict risk already exist, a new test must demonstrate that any improvement in predictive accuracy results in meaningful changes in therapy and leads to improved outcomes. In many cases, comparative trials are needed to demonstrate the impact of testing on net health outcome.

Literature Review

The policy was created with a literature review using MEDLINE through February 2010 and updated through February 2013. (Note: The linkage between these publications and the commercially available tests is uncertain. Aspects of the Prostate Px+ test seem related to the 2009 Donovan et al. paper; while Post-Op Px seems more related to the 2008 Donovan et al. paper (both of which are discussed next). Data related to these two tests may also be part of information that has been presented at meetings and is available only as an abstract.

In 2008, Donovan and colleagues reported on use of a systems pathology tool through integration of clinicopathologic data with image analysis and quantitative immunofluorescence of prostate cancer tissue. (2) In this study, an algorithm for postoperative risk was derived using a cohort of 758 patients with clinically localized or locally advanced prostate cancer who had tissue available for analysis and for whom outcomes were known. This cohort was assembled from one institution; the patients were initially treated between 1985 and 2003. Samples were identified for 971 patients, but the cohort was reduced to 881 because some patients received treatment before prostatectomy and treatment before clinical failure. An additional 123 patients were excluded because of missing data elements, including missing outcome information. The derived model predicted distant metastasis and/or androgen-independent recurrence. The model was derived using 40 potential variables. The outcome was clinical failure; clinical failure was defined as unequivocal radiographic or pathologic evidence of metastasis, increasing prostate-specific antigen (PSA) in a castrate state, or death related to prostate cancer.

The model was derived using a training set of 373 patients with 33 (8.8%) clinical failure events (24 positive bone scans and 9 patients with increasing PSA levels). The model included androgen receptor levels, dominant prostatectomy Gleason grade, lymph node involvement, and 3 quantitative characteristics from hematoxylin and eosin staining of

POLICY TITLE	SYSTEMS PATHOLOGY FOR PREDICTING RISK OF RECURRENCE IN PROSTATE CANCER
POLICY NUMBER	MP-2.237

prostate tissue. The model had a sensitivity of 90% and specificity of 91% for predicting clinical failure within 5 years after prostatectomy. The model was then validated on an independent cohort of 385 patients with 29 (7.5%) clinical failure events (22 positive bone scans and 7 with increasing PSA levels). This gave a sensitivity of 84% and specificity of 85%. High levels of androgen receptor predicted shorter time to castrate PSA increase after androgen deprivation therapy. The authors concluded that the integration of clinicopathologic variables with imaging and biomarker data (systems pathology) resulted in a highly accurate tool for predicting clinical failure within 5 years after prostatectomy. They also noted support for a role for androgen-receptor signaling in clinical progression and duration of response to androgen-deprivation therapy.

In a subsequent article from 2009, Donovan and colleagues reported on derivation of another system’s pathology model to predict risk in prostate cancer based on preoperative assessment, including biopsy results. (3) This publication reported on efforts to develop a patient-specific, biology-driven tool to predict outcome at diagnosis. The study also investigated whether biopsy androgen receptor levels predict a durable response to therapy after secondary treatment. The authors evaluated paraffin-embedded prostate needle biopsy tissue from 1,027 patients with T1c-T3 prostate cancer treated with surgery between 1989 and 2003 and followed a median of 8 years. Information was initially compiled on 1,487 patients from 6 institutions. Four-hundred-sixty patients were excluded from analysis because of incomplete or missing information. Clinical failure was determined as noted in the study summarized above. Modeling again began with 40 candidate variables. In the training set of 686 patients, 87 (12.7%) had clinical failure (9 with a positive bone scan and 78 with increasing PSA in a castrate state).

A total of 219 (32%) of these patients received standard androgen ablation with or without salvage radiotherapy. (3) These treatments were done at the discretion of the treating physician for the cohort of patients in this analysis. Using clinical failure within 8 years as the outcome, the model had a sensitivity of 78% and specificity of 69% in the derivation set. The 6 variables in this model were as follows: preoperative PSA, dominant biopsy Gleason Grade, biopsy Gleason Score, and 3 systems pathology variables (androgen receptor, distance between epithelial tumor cells, and tumor epithelial cell area). Patients from another (the fifth) institution were used for the validation set. In the validation set of 341 patients, the sensitivity was 76% and specificity 64%. There were 44 clinical failures (4 with positive bone scan and 40 with increasing PSA in a castrate state). This study also found that increased androgen receptor in biopsy tumor cells predicted resistance to therapy. The authors concluded that the additional systems pathology data add to the value of prediction rules used

POLICY TITLE	SYSTEMS PATHOLOGY FOR PREDICTING RISK OF RECURRENCE IN PROSTATE CANCER
POLICY NUMBER	MP-2.237

to assess outcome at diagnosis. The authors also comment that the nature of this study has the potential for bias. In an attempt to reduce this bias and to perform a more robust validation study, they are investigating access to samples from randomized, clinical trials (RCTs).

Some of the investigators from these 2 studies were also involved in an earlier report from Memorial Sloan-Kettering on using this approach to predict clinical failure (as measured by PSA recurrence) following radical prostatectomy. (4) This study involved a training set of 323 patients.

Similarly, Eggener and colleagues from the University of Chicago described development of two systems pathology models to determine which patients undergoing radical prostatectomy are likely to manifest systemic disease. (5) They found their models to be accurate and commented that use of the novel markers may enhance the accuracy of the systems pathology approach.

Veltri and colleagues from Johns Hopkins reported on use of nuclear morphometric signatures such as nuclear size, shape, DNA content, and chromatin texture in predicting PSA recurrence. (6) This model was found to have an area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve of 0.80. The authors concluded that PSA recurrence is more accurately predicted using these markers compared with routine pathology information alone.

In an editorial (7) accompanying the 2008 article by Donovan et al., (2) Klein et al. raise a number of questions. A major question raised is whether the differences with these new models have sufficient clinical relevance to justify the extra effort, expense, and expertise needed for the systems pathology approach. He comments that additional studies are needed to understand the incremental value of this new information.

The paper by Donovan et al. also comments that they believe this approach will allow the development of more informed and appropriate treatment plans, including the potential for early decisions about androgen deprivation therapy, radiation therapy, and/or chemotherapy in a subset of patients.

In 2010, Donovan et al. investigated whether clinical variables before treatment and tumor specimen characteristics from patients with castrate-resistant metastatic prostate cancer can be used to predict time to prostate cancer-specific mortality and overall survival. (8) Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) slides, paraffin blocks, and outcome data from 104 castrate patients with metastatic prostate cancer were independently reviewed. Pathology samples were from prostatectomy specimens (n=43) and prostate needle biopsies (n=61). The patients included in the study had local and advanced disease (T1-T4), had been managed with

POLICY TITLE	SYSTEMS PATHOLOGY FOR PREDICTING RISK OF RECURRENCE IN PROSTATE CANCER
POLICY NUMBER	MP-2.237

radiotherapy or primary hormonal therapy, 47% had PSA level 20 ng/mL or higher, and 52% had a Gleason sum of greater than 7 at the time of diagnosis. H&E morphometry and quantitative immunofluorescence assays for cytokeratin-18 (epithelial cells), 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (nuclei), p63/high molecular weight keratin (basal cells), androgen receptors, and α -methyl CoA-racemase were performed. Immunofluorescence images were acquired with spectral imaging software and processed for quantification with specific algorithms. Median follow-up was 12 years from diagnosis. Of the 104 patients, 66 had evaluable immunofluorescence features. PSA level was the only clinical variable associated with outcome. The amount of androgen receptors present within tumor nuclei correlated with a greater risk of a shorter time to prostate cancer-specific mortality ($p < 0.05$). No H&E features correlated with mortality. The authors concluded that, using systems pathology, they were able to identify and characterize a population of cells that expressed very high levels of androgen receptors that predict a more aggressive phenotype of prostate cancer.

Two studies published by Donovan et al. in 2012 both used the same sample of postoperative tissue specimens described in the 2008 paper by Donovan et al. (9, 10) One compared the Postop Px algorithm with 2 other nomograms for predicting PSA recurrence and clinical failure (PSA rise, bone metastasis or prostate cancer-related death). (10) Data came from 373 patients included in the 2008 training set. The concordance-index (CI) was used as a measure of classification accuracy. Regarding PSA recurrence, the Px algorithm was more accurate (0.76) than the D'Amico nomogram (0.70) and the Kattan nomogram (0.75). Similarly, the Px model was more accurate for predicting clinical failure (0.84) than the D'Amico nomogram (0.73) and the Kattan nomogram (0.79). The other study (9) used specimens from transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) in a postoperative model for predicting prostate cancer-specific survival and disease progression. A training set consisted of 256 patients and a validation set included 269 patients. Performance of the training set was a CI of 0.79, sensitivity of 75%, and specificity of 86%. In the validation set, CI was 0.76, sensitivity was 59% and specificity was 80%.

Summary

Data on the use of systems pathology for predicting risk of recurrence in prostate cancer do not address the clinical utility of this testing. Therefore, it is not known whether use of these models will result in changes in care that lead to improved patient outcomes. Additional data are needed to answer this important question.

In addition, studies are needed to determine which patients may benefit from this testing, as well as to determine when in the course of diagnosis and treatment the systems pathology

POLICY TITLE	SYSTEMS PATHOLOGY FOR PREDICTING RISK OF RECURRENCE IN PROSTATE CANCER
POLICY NUMBER	MP-2.237

assessment should be performed. There also should be further discussion about which outcomes are the best to be used in developing models; there can be substantial differences in models that predict PSA recurrence from those that predict metastatic disease and those that predict death. In addition, models may be needed that evaluate risk following treatments other than radical prostatectomy.

The value of using the systems pathology approach to determine risk is not known based on currently available studies. Thus, the impact on clinical outcomes is not known and the clinical utility of this testing is not known. Therefore, this testing is considered investigational.

V. DEFINITIONS

[TOP](#)

ADJUVANT THERAPY is an additional cancer treatment given after the primary treatment to lower the risk that the cancer will come back. Adjuvant therapy may include chemotherapy, radiation therapy, hormone therapy, targeted therapy, or biological therapy.

GLEASON SCORE is a system of grading prostate cancer tissue based on how it looks under a microscope. Gleason scores range from 2 to 10 and indicate how likely it is that a tumor will spread. A low Gleason score means the cancer tissue is similar to normal prostate tissue and the tumor is less likely to spread; a high Gleason score means the cancer tissue is very different from normal and the tumor is more likely to spread.

PROSTATE SPECIFIC ANTIGEN (PSA) is a protein made by the prostate gland and found in the blood. PSA blood levels may be higher than normal in men who have prostate cancer, benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH), or infection or inflammation of the prostate gland.

STAGING is the process used to find out if cancer has spread within the prostate or to other parts of the body. Stages I through IV are used for prostate cancer. The higher the stage, the more advanced the cancer.

VI. BENEFIT VARIATIONS

[TOP](#)

The existence of this medical policy does not mean that this service is a covered benefit under the member's contract. Benefit determinations should be based in all cases on the applicable contract language. Medical policies do not constitute a description of benefits. A member's individual or group customer benefits govern which services are covered, which are excluded,

POLICY TITLE	SYSTEMS PATHOLOGY FOR PREDICTING RISK OF RECURRENCE IN PROSTATE CANCER
POLICY NUMBER	MP-2.237

and which are subject to benefit limits and which require preauthorization. Members and providers should consult the member’s benefit information or contact Capital for benefit information.

VII. DISCLAIMER

[TOP](#)

Capital’s medical policies are developed to assist in administering a member’s benefits, do not constitute medical advice and are subject to change. Treating providers are solely responsible for medical advice and treatment of members. Members should discuss any medical policy related to their coverage or condition with their provider and consult their benefit information to determine if the service is covered. If there is a discrepancy between this medical policy and a member’s benefit information, the benefit information will govern. Capital considers the information contained in this medical policy to be proprietary and it may only be disseminated as permitted by law.

VIII. CODING INFORMATION

[TOP](#)

Note: This list of codes may not be all-inclusive, and codes are subject to change at any time. The identification of a code in this section does not denote coverage as coverage is determined by the terms of member benefit information. In addition, not all covered services are eligible for separate reimbursement.

Investigational and therefore not covered when used to report Systems Pathology testing (E.g. Post-Op Px or Prostate Px+):

CPT Codes®							
88313	88323	88347	88399	99090			

Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) copyrighted by American Medical Association. All Rights Reserved.

IX. REFERENCES

[TOP](#)

1. Shariat SF, Karakiewicz PI, Margulis V et al. Inventory of prostate cancer predictive tools. *Curr Opin Urol* 2008; 18(3):279-96.
2. Donovan MJ, Hamann S, Clayton M et al. Systems pathology approach for the prediction of prostate cancer progression after radical prostatectomy. *J Clin Oncol* 2008; 26(24):3923-9.

POLICY TITLE	SYSTEMS PATHOLOGY FOR PREDICTING RISK OF RECURRENCE IN PROSTATE CANCER
POLICY NUMBER	MP-2.237

3. *Donovan MJ, Khan FM, Fernandez G et al. Personalized prediction of tumor response and cancer progression on prostate needle biopsy. J Urol 2009; 182(1):125-32.*
4. *Cordon-Cardo C, Kotsianti A, Verbel DA et al. Improved prediction of prostate cancer recurrence through systems pathology. J Clin Invest 2007; 117(7):1876-83.*
5. *Egger SE, Vickers AJ, Serio AM et al. Comparison of models to predict clinical failure after radical prostatectomy. Cancer 2009; 115(2):303-10.*
6. *Veltri RW, Miller MC, Isharwal S et al. Prediction of prostate-specific antigen recurrence in men with long-term follow-up postprostatectomy using quantitative nuclear morphometry. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2008; 17(1):102-10.*
7. *Klein EA, Stephenson AJ, Raghavan D et al. Systems pathology and predicting outcome after radical prostatectomy. J Clin Oncol 2008; 26(24):3916-7.*
8. *Donovan MJ, Osman I, Khan FM et al. Androgen receptor expression is associated with prostate cancer-specific survival in castrate patients with metastatic disease. BJU Int 2010; 105(4):462-7.*
9. *Donovan MJ, Khan FM, Bayer-Zubek V et al. A systems-based modelling approach using transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) specimens yielded incremental prognostic significance to Gleason when predicting long-term outcome in men with localized prostate cancer. BJU Int 2012; 109(2):207-13.*
10. *Donovan MJ, Khan FM, Powell D et al. Postoperative systems models more accurately predict risk of significant disease progression than standard risk groups and a 10-year postoperative nomogram: potential impact on the receipt of adjuvant therapy after surgery. BJU Int 2012; 109(1):40-5.*

X. POLICY HISTORY

[TOP](#)

MP 2.237	CAC 1/26/10 New Policy
	CAC 4/26/11 Adopt BCBSA guidelines. Policy statements unchanged. Testing remains investigational. Policy retitled “Systems Pathology for Predicting Risk of Recurrence in Prostate Cancer”.
	CAC 8/28/12 Consensus review. No changes to policy statements. References updated. Codes reviewed klr
	CAC 07/30/13 Consensus Review. Administrative code review complete.
	CAC 3/25/14 Consensus review. No change to policy statements. References updated. Rationale section added.

POLICY TITLE	SYSTEMS PATHOLOGY FOR PREDICTING RISK OF RECURRENCE IN PROSTATE CANCER
POLICY NUMBER	MP-2.237

[Top](#)

Health care benefit programs issued or administered by Capital BlueCross and/or its subsidiaries, Capital Advantage Insurance Company[®], Capital Advantage Assurance Company[®] and Keystone Health Plan[®] Central. Independent licensees of the BlueCross BlueShield Association. Communications issued by Capital BlueCross in its capacity as administrator of programs and provider relations for all companies.