

Medical Policy Manual

Topic: Allergy Tests of Uncertain Efficacy

Date of Origin: January 1996

Section: Laboratory

Last Reviewed Date: June 2014

Policy No: 1

Effective Date: September 1, 2014

IMPORTANT REMINDER

Medical Policies are developed to provide guidance for members and providers regarding coverage in accordance with contract terms. Benefit determinations are based in all cases on the applicable contract language. To the extent there may be any conflict between the Medical Policy and contract language, the contract language takes precedence.

PLEASE NOTE: Contracts exclude from coverage, among other things, services or procedures that are considered investigational or cosmetic. Providers may bill members for services or procedures that are considered investigational or cosmetic. Providers are encouraged to inform members before rendering such services that the members are likely to be financially responsible for the cost of these services.

DESCRIPTION

Allergy refers to an acquired potential for developing adverse reactions that are mediated by the immune system (via IgE antibodies). Allergic disease represents the clinical manifestations of these adverse immune responses. An allergen is any substance that can cause an allergic reaction. Allergens are often common, usually harmless substances such as pollens, mold spores, animal danders, dust, foods, insect venoms, latex, and drugs.^[1]

The optimum management of the allergic patient should include a careful history and physical examination and may include confirming the cause of the allergic reaction by information from allergy tests. The following allergy tests are considered clinically useful for allergy confirmation by the American Academy of Allergy, Asthma, and Immunology (AAAAI) and the American College of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology (ACAAI) in the diagnosis and management of the allergic patient.^[2]

- Bronchial challenge test
- Double-blind food challenge test
- Intradermal skin testing
- Patch test
- Percutaneous skin tests such as the scratch, prick, or puncture tests
- Photo patch test

- Specific IgE in vitro tests such as Radioallergosorbent Test (RAST), Multiple Radioallergosorbent Tests (MAST), Fluorescent Allergosorbent Test (FAST), Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA), and the ImmunoCAP IgE test
- Total serum IgE concentration

Once an allergy-causing agent is identified, treatment is provided by avoidance, medication, or immunotherapy.

Allergy Tests of Uncertain Efficacy

This policy addresses only allergy tests of uncertain efficacy and those used primarily in research settings. Tests which may be considered useful in the clinical setting, as noted above, are not addressed in this policy.

Antigen Leukocyte Cellular Antibody (ALCAT) Automated Food Test

The ALCAT automated food test measures whole blood leukocytes by a proprietary process that identifies allergens which cause an increase in leukocyte activity. An electronic counter measures the change in number and size of white blood cells which have been incubated with purified food or mold extracts. A histogram is produced based on cell count and cell size. Individually processed test samples are compared with a "Master Control" graph. Scores are generated by relating these effective volumetric changes in white blood cells to the control curve.

Applied Kinesiology (or Muscle Strength Test)

Muscle strength in the extremities is measured before and after a person is exposed to an allergen. Strength in the opposing arm is measured as a person holds a container of allergen extract in the opposite hand or ingests an allergen. A decrease in strength indicates the presence of disease and various nutritional supplements may be recommended.

Cytotoxic Food Tests

This test involves the response of specially collected white blood cells to the presence of food extracts to which the patient is allergic. A technician observes the unstained cells for changes in shape and appearance of the leukocytes. Swelling, vacuolation, crenation, or other cytotoxic changes in cell morphology are taken as evidence of allergy to the food.

Electrodermal Testing (Also Known As Electro-acupuncture)

Electrodermal testing measures changes in skin resistance while a person is exposed to an allergen, either food or inhalant. This allergy-testing device uses a galvanometer to measure the electrical resistance of the skin. A drop in the resistance of the skin is believed to indicate the presence of allergy.

Hair Analysis

Hair is analyzed for the presence (or lack) of various minerals and toxins. Findings are correlated to nutritional deficiencies or disease. Recommendations for diet and supplements are provided based on the analysis.

IgG/IgG4 Antibody Test and Food Specific IgG/IgG4 Tests

There are 4 subclasses of immunoglobulin G (IgG). Selective deficiencies in one or more of the 4 IgG subclasses are seen in some patients with repeated infections. Measurements of IgG and specifically IgG4 antibodies have been used in research settings as diagnostic and prognostic tests to determine response to allergy treatments.

Iridology

According to the AAAAI, iridology attempts to relate the anatomical features in the iris to various systemic diseases.

LEAP-MRT®

This procedure involves 2 test components. The first component, Lifestyle Eating and Performing (LEAP®), tests patients for multiple food and additive/chemical allergies. The patient is tested and then given a tailored eating plan. In the second component, the Mediator Release Test (MRT®) measures non-IgE mediated immune pathways using a blood test.

Provocative-neutralization Tests for Food (or Food Additive Allergy Test)

This procedure is performed by injecting (intradermal or subcutaneous), or placing under the tongue (sublingual), dilute extracts of the suspected food or inhalant allergen and observing the patient's response or reaction. A symptomatic response indicates an allergy to that food or inhalant, and the reaction can be neutralized by application of a similar extract of a lesser dilution.

Allergy Tests in the Research Setting

The following tests are primarily used in the research setting:

Conjunctival Challenge Test

With conjunctival testing, an allergenic extract is placed into the conjunctival sac of the eye followed by observation for redness, itchiness, tearing of the eye, and other similar symptoms. According to the AAAAI, these tests are often used in research protocols that require an objective standard for evaluating clinical sensitivity to an allergen.

Leukocyte Histamine Release Test (LHRT)

In this testing, leukocytes from the serum of an allergic individual are observed for histamine release in the presence of an antigen. The commercial availability of simplified and automated methods of laboratory analysis have renewed interest in the clinical applications of LHRT in the evaluation of food, inhalant, and drug allergies. The AAAAI guidelines for this test indicate it is primarily used in a research setting.

Nasal Challenge Test

This test provides precise measurements of changes in nasal airway resistance along with observations such as number of sneezes and measurement of inflammatory mediators in the nasal secretions after

exposure to an allergen. The more commonly known "sniff test," uses a visual assessment of mucosal swelling and rhinorrhea after a small amount of dry pollen is inhaled.

MEDICAL POLICY CRITERIA

The following allergy tests are considered **investigational** as the scientific evidence does not permit conclusions regarding their effects on health outcomes:

1. Antigen leukocyte cellular antibody (ALCAT) automated food test
2. Applied kinesiology allergy test
3. Conjunctival challenge test (ophthalmic mucous membrane test)
4. Cytotoxic food tests
5. Electrodermal testing (also known as electro-acupuncture)
6. Hair analysis
7. IgG/IgG4 allergen specific antibody test and food tests
8. Iridology
9. Lifestyle Eating and Performing-Mediator Release Test (LEAP-MRT)
10. Leukocyte histamine release test (LHRT)
11. Nasal challenge test
12. Passive transfer or P-X (Prausnitz-Küstner) test (now considered obsolete-and replaced by Radioallergosorbent tests)
13. Provocation-neutralization food or food additive allergy test
14. Rebeck skin window test (no longer in use)

SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE

This policy is based on the 2008 and 2012 AAAAAI clinical practice guidelines for allergy diagnostic testing,^[3,4] and appraisal of the current scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed journals.

The focus of the review was on identifying randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that demonstrate how the tests in question impact treatment decisions and health outcomes in patients with allergies.

Literature Appraisal

Allergy Tests of Uncertain Efficacy

The following tests have either not been evaluated in randomized, controlled trials (RCTs) examining the clinical utility of the test and/or have been evaluated in RCTs of inferior quality that report inconclusive or contradictory findings:^[1,3,5-8]

- Antigen leukocyte cellular antibody (ALCAT) test
- Applied kinesiology,
- Cytotoxic tests,
- Electrodermal testing ,
- Hair analysis,
- IgG and IgG4 allergen specific antibody or food test,
- Iridology,
- LEAP-MRT,
- Provocation- neutralization,

Allergy Tests in the Research Setting

Leukocyte Histamine Release Test (LHRT)

Overall, the evidence is not sufficient to permit conclusions on the diagnostic accuracy of LHRT. Studies are potentially prone to spectrum bias, referral bias, and ascertainment bias. Alternative tests were not performed in a blinded manner, or studies did not indicate whether there were blinded interpretations of the tests.^[9,10] Some studies included patients with known allergies, and thus these highly selected populations did not represent the same population with equivocal allergy histories that would undergo testing.^[10-14] In some situations, results were compared with bronchial provocation testing, considered the gold standard for inhalant allergies. However, bronchial provocation may only be performed on a subset of patients with a limited number of allergens. For example, bronchial provocation may only be performed when there are discordant results between RAST and skin prick testing.^[15] While it has been suggested that LHRT may be a valuable test in those patients with discordant results of skin prick testing and RAST testing, studies focusing on this subgroup of patients were not identified in a literature search.

Conjunctival and Nasal Challenge Tests

These tests are often the tools of research protocols and are used to determine clinical sensitivity to an allergen.^[3] While it has been suggested that conjunctival and nasal challenge tests may be valuable to confirm diagnosis when skin tests are negative, the studies focusing on this subgroup of patients are small, nonrandomized trials which do not permit conclusions on the clinical utility of conjunctival or nasal challenge tests.^[16-22]

Clinical Practice Guidelines

American Academy of Allergy, Asthma, and Immunology (AAAAI)

In the 2012 AAAAI guidelines, “What Primary Care Givers Need To Know About The New Guidelines For The Diagnosis And Management Of Food Allergy In The US,” the following expert opinion-based recommendations are outlined for the diagnosis of food allergies:

- Food allergy should be suspected when typical symptoms (e.g., urticaria, edema, wheezing,

mouth itch, cough, nausea/vomiting, anaphylaxis) occur within minutes to hours of ingesting a food. The medical history/exam are recommended to aid in diagnosis. A detailed history of the reaction to each incriminated food is essential for proper diagnosis.

- Tests for food-specific IgE are recommended to assist in diagnosis, but should not be relied upon as a sole means to diagnose food allergy. The medical history/exam are recommended to aid in diagnosis. A medically monitored feeding (food challenge) is considered the most specific test for food allergy.
- Food-specific IgE testing has numerous limitations, because positive tests are not intrinsically diagnostic and reactions sometimes occur with negative tests. Testing “food panels” without considering history is often misleading and not recommended
- Several tests are not recommended, including food-IgG/IgG4, total IgE, applied kinesiology, and electrodermal testing.

American Academy of Allergy, Asthma, and Immunology (AAAAI) and American College of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology (ACAAI)

In the 2008 AAAAI and ACAAI guidelines, “Allergy Diagnostic Testing: An Updated Practice Parameter,” the following recommendations are outlined:^[3]

- “With regard to evaluations for IgE antibody–associated food allergies, tests for food specific IgE antibody include percutaneous skin tests (prick/puncture tests) and serum assays. In general, these tests are highly sensitive (generally 85%) but only modestly specific (approximately 40% to 80%) and therefore are well suited for use when suspicion of a particular food or foods is high. They are not effective for indiscriminate screening (eg, using panels of tests without consideration of likely causes) and therefore generally should not be used for that purpose. (B)
- Intracutaneous skin tests for foods are potentially dangerous, are overly sensitive, increase the chance of a false-positive test result, and are not recommended. (D)
- A trial elimination diet may be helpful to determine if a disorder with frequent or chronic symptoms is responsive to dietary manipulation. (D)
- Graded oral food challenge is a useful means to diagnose an adverse reaction to food. (B)
- A number of additional diagnostic tests are under investigation, including APTs and tests for IgE binding to specific epitopes. (B)”

Level B evidence is based on evidence from at least one controlled study without randomization or at other type of quasi-experimental study. Level D evidence is based on expert committee reports, the opinion or clinical experiences of respected authorities, or both.

Summary

There is insufficient evidence for all of the allergy tests addressed in this policy. Further, there are no evidence-based clinical practice guidelines that recommend these allergy tests; and therefore the following allergy tests are considered investigational:

- Antigen leukocyte cellular antibody (ALCAT) test,
- Applied kinesiology,
- Cytotoxic tests,
- Electrodermal testing ,
- Hair analysis,
- IgG and IgG4 allergen specific antibody or food test,
- Iridology,
- LEAP-MRT,
- Passive transfer or P-X test,
- Provocation- neutralization,
- Rebeck skin window test,
- Leukocyte Histamine Release Test (LHRT),
- Conjunctival Challenge Tests,
- Nasal Challenge Tests

REFERENCES

1. The Allergy Report 2000. American Academy of Allergy Asthma & Immunology. Overview of Allergic Diseases: Diagnosis, Management, and Barriers to Care.
2. Park, SS, Daftari, I, Phillips, T, Morse, LS. Three-year follow-up of a pilot study of ranibizumab combined with proton beam irradiation as treatment for exudative age-related macular degeneration. *Retina*. 2012 May;32(5):956-66. PMID: 22183743
3. Bernstein, IL, Li, JT, Bernstein, DI, et al. Allergy diagnostic testing: an updated practice parameter. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol*. 2008 Mar;100(3 Suppl 3):S1-148. PMID: 18431959
4. American Academy of Allergy Asthma & Immunology (AAAAI). What Primary Care Givers Need To Know About The New Guidelines For The Diagnosis And Management Of Food Allergy In The US. [cited 06/02/2014]; Available from: <http://www.aaaai.org/Aaaai/media/MediaLibrary/PDF%20Documents/Practice%20Resources/Food-Allergy-Guidelines-Summary.pdf>
5. Noh, G, Ahn, HS, Cho, NY, Lee, S, Oh, JW. The clinical significance of food specific IgE/IgG4 in food specific atopic dermatitis. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol*. 2007 Feb;18(1):63-70. PMID: 17295801
6. Aberer, W, Hawranek, T, Reider, N, Schuster, C, Sturm, G, Kranke, B. Immunoglobulin E and G antibody profiles to grass pollen allergens during a short course of sublingual immunotherapy. *J Invest Allergol Clin Immunol*. 2007;17(3):131-6. PMID: 17583098
7. Huang, SW. Follow-up of children with rhinitis and cough associated with milk allergy. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol*. 2007 Feb;18(1):81-5. PMID: 17295803
8. Chapman, JA, Bernstein, IL, Lee, RE, et al. Food allergy: a practice parameter. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma, & Immunol*. 2006;96(3 Suppl):S1-S68. PMID: No PMID Entry
9. Griese, M, Kusenbach, G, Reinhardt, D. Histamine release test in comparison to standard tests in diagnosis of childhood allergic asthma. *Ann Allergy*. 1990 Jul;65(1):46-51. PMID: 1695065
10. Skov, PS, Mosbech, H, Norn, S, Weeke, B. Sensitive glass microfibre-based histamine analysis for allergy testing in washed blood cells. Results compared with conventional leukocyte

- histamine release assay. *Allergy*. 1985 Apr;40(3):213-8. PMID: 2581468
11. Ostergaard, PA, Ebbesen, F, Nolte, H, Skov, PS. Basophil histamine release in the diagnosis of house dust mite and dander allergy of asthmatic children. Comparison between prick test, RAST, basophil histamine release and bronchial provocation. *Allergy*. 1990 Apr;45(3):231-5. PMID: 2183646
 12. Kleine-Tebbe, J, Werfel, S, Roedsgaard, D, et al. Comparison of fiberglass-based histamine assay with a conventional automated fluorometric histamine assay, case history, skin prick test, and specific serum IgE in patients with milk and egg allergic reactions. *Allergy*. 1993 Jan;48(1):49-53. PMID: 8457026
 13. Kleine-Tebbe, J, Galleani, M, Jeep, S, Pilz, B, Baisch, A, Kunkel, G. Basophil histamine release in patients with birch pollen hypersensitivity with and without allergic symptoms to fruits. *Allergy*. 1992 Dec;47(6):618-23. PMID: 1283657
 14. Paris-Kohler, A, Demoly, P, Persi, L, Lebel, B, Bousquet, J, Arnoux, B. In vitro diagnosis of cypress pollen allergy by using cytofluorimetric analysis of basophils (Basotest). *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2000 Feb;105(2 Pt 1):339-45. PMID: 10669856
 15. Nolte, H, Storm, K, Schiotz, PO. Diagnostic value of a glass fibre-based histamine analysis for allergy testing in children. *Allergy*. 1990 Apr;45(3):213-23. PMID: 2327562
 16. Miadonna, A, Leggieri, E, Tedeschi, A, Zanussi, C. Clinical significance of specific IgE determination on nasal secretion. *Clin Allergy*. 1983 Mar;13(2):155-64. PMID: 6839442
 17. Leonardi, A, Battista, MC, Gismondi, M, Fregona, IA, Secchi, AG. Antigen sensitivity evaluated by tear-specific and serum-specific IgE, skin tests, and conjunctival and nasal provocation tests in patients with ocular allergic disease. *Eye (Lond)*. 1993;7 (Pt 3):461-4. PMID: 8224308
 18. Weschta, M, Rimek, D, Formanek, M, Polzehl, D, Riechelmann, H. Local production of *Aspergillus fumigatus* specific immunoglobulin E in nasal polyps. *Laryngoscope*. 2003 Oct;113(10):1798-802. PMID: 14520109
 19. Small, P, Barrett, D, Frenkiel, S, Rochon, L, Cohen, C, Black, M. Local specific IgE production in nasal polyps associated with negative skin tests and serum RAST. *Ann Allergy*. 1985 Nov;55(5):736-9. PMID: 4061982
 20. Gurgenzidze, GV, Baraban, EI, Gamkrelidze, AG. Local humoral immunity in patients with pollen allergy. *Allergol Immunopathol (Madr)*. 1990 Nov-Dec;18(6):315-9. PMID: 2088100
 21. Tamura, G, Satoh, K, Chao, CL, Inoue, H, Takishima, T. Do diagnostic procedures other than inhalation challenge predict immediate bronchial responses to inhaled allergen? *Clin Exp Allergy*. 1991 Jul;21(4):497-502. PMID: 1913273
 22. Anantasit, N, Vilaiyuk, S, Kamchaisatian, W, et al. Comparison of conjunctival and nasal provocation tests in allergic rhinitis children with *Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus* sensitization. *Asian Pacific journal of allergy and immunology / launched by the Allergy and Immunology Society of Thailand*. 2013 Sep;31(3):227-32. PMID: 24053705

CROSS REFERENCES

None

CODES	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION
CPT	83516	Immunoassay for analyte other than infectious agent antibody or infectious agent antigen; qualitative or semiquantitative, multiple step method

CODES	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION
	86001	Allergen specific IgG quantitative or semiquantitative; each allergen
	86343	Leukocyte Histamine Release Test (LHR)
	86486	Skin test; unlisted antigen, each
	95060	Ophthalmic mucous membrane tests
	95065	Direct nasal mucous membrane test
	95199	Unlisted allergy/clinical immunologic service or procedure
HCPCS	None	