

MEDICAL POLICY



SUBJECT: WIRELESS CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY/ IMAGING FOR EXAMINATION OF THE GASTROINTESTINAL (GI) TRACT POLICY NUMBER: 6.01.27 CATEGORY: Technology Assessment	EFFECTIVE DATE: 06/20/02 REVISED DATE: 01/16/03, 01/15/04, 12/16/04, 10/20/05, 09/21/06, 10/18/07, 11/20/08, 10/29/09, 12/16/10, 11/17/11, 10/18/12, 09/19/13, 08/21/14 PAGE: 1 OF: 13
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>If the member's subscriber contract excludes coverage for a specific service it is not covered under that contract. In such cases, medical policy criteria are not applied.</i>• <i>Medical policies apply to commercial and Medicaid products only when a contract benefit for the specific service exists.</i>• <i>Medical policies only apply to Medicare products when a contract benefit exists and where there are no National or Local Medicare coverage decisions for the specific service.</i>	

POLICY STATEMENT:

Based upon our criteria and assessment of peer-reviewed literature:

- I. Wireless capsule endoscopy has been medically proven to be effective and therefore **medically appropriate** for the evaluation of obscure gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding, suspected to be of small bowel origin when the patient has undergone conventional diagnostic work-up that has not revealed the source of bleeding. The conventional diagnostic work-up generally consists of colonoscopy, upper endoscopy, and in some situations, a small bowel series (*see Policy Guidelines*). In the appropriate clinical setting (active bleeding during the work-up), angiography and/or tagged red cell scanning and Meckel scanning (if patient is less than 60 years old) would also have been done. If these diagnostic procedures were performed within six months of the planned wireless endoscopy, repeat testing is at the discretion of the managing clinician.
- II. Wireless capsule endoscopy has been medically proven effective and therefore **medically appropriate** for the *initial* diagnosis of patients with suspected Crohn's disease (CD) when conventional diagnostic work-up has failed to reveal any lesions consistent with the disease and there still remains a strong clinical suspicion of CD. Findings in those patients with a high suspicion of Crohn's should include fever, weight loss, anemia, elevated WBC, and/or elevated sedimentation rate.
- III. Wireless capsule endoscopy has been medically proven to be effective and therefore **medically appropriate** for surveillance of the small bowel in patients with hereditary GI polyposis syndromes such as familial adenomatosis polyposis (FAP) or Peutz-Jeghers syndrome.
- IV. Wireless capsule endoscopy has been medically proven to be effective and therefore **medically appropriate** for the screening or surveillance of esophageal varices in cirrhotic patients with significantly compromised liver function (i.e. Child-Pugh score of Class B or greater) where a standard upper endoscopy with sedation or anesthesia is contraindicated.
- V. Wireless capsule endoscopy has not been medically proven to be effective and is considered **investigational** for any other indication, including but not limited to:
 - A. evaluating diseases of the esophagus other than stated above;
 - B. confirmation of lesions/pathology found by other diagnostic means;
 - C. as the initial procedure in the diagnosis of GI bleeding where upper endoscopy or colonoscopy have not been performed;
 - D. for the diagnosis of irritable bowel syndrome;
 - E. any other diseases of the small bowel; or
 - F. diseases of the large intestine/colon.
- VI. Use of the patency capsule to verify adequate patency of the gastrointestinal tract prior to administration of the wireless capsule in patients with known or suspected strictures, has not been medically proven effective and is considered **investigational**.

SUBJECT: WIRELESS CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY/ IMAGING FOR EXAMINATION OF THE GASTROINTESTINAL (GI) TRACT POLICY NUMBER: 6.01.27 CATEGORY: Technology Assessment	EFFECTIVE DATE: 06/20/02 REVISED DATE: 01/16/03, 01/15/04, 12/16/04, 10/20/05, 09/21/06, 10/18/07, 11/20/08, 10/29/09, 12/16/10, 11/17/11, 10/18/12, 09/19/13, 08/21/14 PAGE: 2 OF: 13
---	---

POLICY GUIDELINES:

- I. Wireless capsule endoscopy must be performed under the supervision of a gastroenterologist or a general surgeon with expertise in this technology.
- II. In the case of obscure GI bleeding, because of low lesion detection rate, a small bowel follow-through or enteroclysis is not necessarily required prior to wireless capsule endoscopy. A small bowel follow-through may be beneficial in some cases at the discretion of the clinician prior to, or after wireless capsule endoscopy in the detection of small bowel lesions and in their anatomical localization.
- III. The Federal Employee Health Benefit Program (FEHBP/FEP) requires that procedures, devices or laboratory tests approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) may not be considered investigational and thus these procedures, devices or laboratory tests may be assessed only on the basis of their medical necessity.

DESCRIPTION:

The American Gastroenterological Association defines obscure GI bleeding (OGIB) as bleeding from the GI tract that persists or recurs without an obvious etiology after esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD), colonoscopy, and radiologic evaluation of the small bowel, such as small-bowel follow-through or enteroclysis. OGIB can be categorized into obscure overt and obscure occult bleeding based on the presence or absence of clinically evident bleeding. Obscure occult bleeding may only present with symptoms such as positive fecal occult blood test and/or persistent iron deficit anemia.

The small bowel is the most difficult portion of the bowel to examine. Because of its remoteness from the mouth and anus, along with the relatively long length of the small intestine, conventional endoscopic techniques (gastroscopy, enteroscopy and colonoscopy) are limited in providing a thorough examination of the small intestine. Conventional endoscopic techniques usually require intravenous sedation in an outpatient setting and can be uncomfortable for the patient.

Wireless capsule endoscopy (e.g., PillCam™ SB or Capsule Endoscope System for small bowel use, PillCam™ ESO for esophageal use, and PillCam™ Colon) has been developed to provide imaging of the esophagus, entire small bowel, and colon. The wireless capsule endoscopy is a non-invasive diagnostic imaging device for use in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, especially the small bowel which is not easily accessible to standard upper and lower endoscopic procedures. Wireless capsule endoscopy requires no preparation of the GI tract (other than fasting) and allows the patient to continue their daily activities throughout the entire endoscopic examination. The capsule, approximately the size of a vitamin, is swallowed by the patient, and propelled by peristalsis through the gastrointestinal tract and naturally excreted. As the capsule is propelled through the GI tract, video pictures are transmitted to sensors attached to the patient's body and stored on a portable recorder strapped to the patient's waist. The stored video images are later downloaded to a computer, from which they may be viewed and processed. The average transit time from ingestion to evacuation is approximately 24 hours. The most recently approved Capsule Endoscope System has the ability to provide real time image viewing.

The capsule camera has been most frequently proposed as a technique to identify the source of obscure intestinal bleeding where conventional diagnostic work-up has not provided a definitive diagnosis. Wireless capsule endoscopy has also been proposed as a diagnostic tool for other abnormalities of the small bowel, for abnormalities of the upper GI tract such as the esophagus and as an alternative to colonoscopy.

The Given AGILE™ Patency System is an accessory to the PillCam video capsule and is intended to verify adequate patency of the gastrointestinal tract prior to administration of the PillCam video capsule in patients with known or suspected strictures. Once the patient ingests the Given AGILE™ Patency capsule it is propelled through the GI tract by normal peristalsis. If the AGILE Patency capsule is excreted structurally whole, then this indicates patency of the GI tract of the patient, and a PillCam capsule can be administered.

RATIONALE:

The Given Diagnostic Imaging System, PillCam™ SB received initial 510 (k) marketing clearance from the FDA on August 1, 2001. The FDA cleared the device for use along with, not as a replacement for, other endoscopic and radiologic evaluations of the small bowel. On July 2, 2003 the FDA approved the PillCam™ SB as a first line tool in the detection of

SUBJECT: WIRELESS CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY/ IMAGING FOR EXAMINATION OF THE GASTROINTESTINAL (GI) TRACT POLICY NUMBER: 6.01.27 CATEGORY: Technology Assessment	EFFECTIVE DATE: 06/20/02 REVISED DATE: 01/16/03, 01/15/04, 12/16/04, 10/20/05, 09/21/06, 10/18/07, 11/20/08, 10/29/09, 12/16/10, 11/17/11, 10/18/12, 09/19/13, 08/21/14 PAGE: 3 OF: 13
---	---

abnormalities of the small bowel, removing the adjunctive tool qualifier. On October 29, 2003 the FDA announced that it had expanded approved indications for the use of wireless capsule endoscopy, PillCam™ SB to include visualization of the small bowel and the detection of abnormalities in symptomatic children age 10 to 18 years. This approval was based on data from a small trial where the wireless capsule endoscopy was able to diagnose or definitively exclude a bleeding source, small bowel polyps or Crohn's disease in 29 out of 30 children. In September 2009, the FDA approved the use of the PillCam SB to include use in children from the age of 2 years and up.

The Olympus Capsule Endoscope System received 510(k) FDA marketing clearance in September 2007 as being equivalent in intended use, method of operation, material and design to the predicate device (PillCam SB). Its use is for visualization of the small intestine mucosa. FDA approval was based upon a study of 51 patients with obscure GI bleeding who swallowed both the PillCam SB and the Endocapsule 40 minutes apart in randomized order. The devices were similar based upon the detection of normal versus abnormal and in their diagnostic capability (D Cave, et al. 2008).

Studies have been published that compare the results of capsule endoscopy and push enteroscopy in patients with undiagnosed, obscure GI bleeding. Though the evidence is small, these studies report that capsule endoscopy provided additional diagnostic yield in 25-50% of the cases and this information led to changes in patient management and improvement in health outcomes.

Though the current available evidence does not allow conclusions as to whether wireless capsule endoscopy is an effective alternative to conventional diagnostic tests in the workup of patients with suspected CD, the evidence does suggest the wireless capsule endoscopy can identify small bowel lesions suggestive of CD when the conventional workup failed to do so in 43-71% of patients with suspected CD. These studies have also reported improved patient outcomes after CD therapy was initiated based on wireless capsule endoscopy findings. There are very limited studies of wireless capsule endoscopy as a diagnostic tool for other diseases of the small bowel (e.g., irritable bowel disease, carcinoma, celiac sprue) and they have yet to provide sufficient data on the diagnostic yield and changes in patient management.

Small bowel capsule endoscopy (SBCE) can be used as a surveillance tool for small bowel polyps in patients with inherited polyposis syndromes. SBCE has been found to have a better diagnostic capability to reveal small bowel polyps compared to barium follow-through in patients with Peutz-Jeghers syndrome [Brown 2006, Iaquinto 2008].

The PillCam™ ESO (Given Imaging) was approved by the FDA in November 2004 as a non-invasive alternative to endoscopy to diagnose and evaluate diseases of the esophagus. Direct imaging of the small bowel with an endoscope is limited, and thus wireless capsule endoscopy of the small bowel occupies a unique diagnostic niche. In contrast, esophageal endoscopy, which also offers the opportunity for biopsy, is a routinely performed procedure. Therefore, assessment of capsule endoscopy of the esophagus requires comparison of its diagnostic performance to the gold standard of conventional endoscopy. One proposed indication for the capsule camera is detection of Barrett's esophagus, considered a premalignant condition associated with gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD). Conventional endoscopy is often recommended in patients with longstanding symptoms of GERD, or in those requiring pharmacologic therapy to control GERD symptoms in order to rule out Barrett's esophagus. This is a high volume indication for conventional upper endoscopy, given the high prevalence of GERD.

Capsule endoscopy offers a potential alternative to endoscopy; those patients with a negative study could potentially forego conventional endoscopy. In this setting, the negative predictive value of capsule endoscopy is the key diagnostic parameter. Patients who are found to have suggestive findings of Barrett's esophagus will require a confirmatory conventional endoscopy with biopsy.

At the present time, there is minimal published literature regarding the diagnostic performance of the wireless esophageal capsule endoscopy. Eliakim, et al 2004 reported on an initial case series of 17 patients with suspected esophageal disorders. The negative predictive value for any esophageal disorder was 100%, while the positive predictive value was 92% (sensitivity 100%, specificity 80%). In a larger multicenter study of 106 patients with either GERD or Barrett's, Eliakim, et al. (2005) reported esophageal abnormalities in 66/106 patients, providing a sensitivity of 92% and specificity of 95%. In an abstract presentation at the 2004 gastrointestinal Cancers Symposium of ASCO, Schnoll-Sussman, et al. reported on the results of 53 consecutive patients who underwent both conventional and capsule camera endoscopy as part

SUBJECT: WIRELESS CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY/ IMAGING FOR EXAMINATION OF THE GASTROINTESTINAL (GI) TRACT POLICY NUMBER: 6.01.27 CATEGORY: Technology Assessment	EFFECTIVE DATE: 06/20/02 REVISED DATE: 01/16/03, 01/15/04, 12/16/04, 10/20/05, 09/21/06, 10/18/07, 11/20/08, 10/29/09, 12/16/10, 11/17/11, 10/18/12, 09/19/13, 08/21/14 PAGE: 4 OF: 13
---	---

of an evaluation for Barrett's esophagus. The sensitivity of the capsule camera in detected Barrett-like changes was 67%, while the specificity was 75%. The positive predictive value was 35%, and the negative predictive value was 92%. The results of these relatively small studies are inadequate to permit scientific conclusions regarding the clinical role of esophageal capsule endoscopy. New studies (n = 73) have been published comparing the Pill Cam ESO to upper endoscopy in patients with portal hypertension and esophageal varices (Eisen, et al. 2006; Lapalus, et al. 2006, and Penna, et al. 2008). Based on the outcomes of these small studies, PillCam ESO may represent an accurate noninvasive alternative to EGD for the detection of esophageal varices and portal hypertensive gastropathy. While further studies are required to validate these initial findings, the use of wireless capsule endoscopy for those patients with significantly compromised liver function who can not tolerate sedation or anesthesia, appears reasonable.

A tethered or string capsule endoscopy for esophageal use is currently under investigation. Strings and a sling are attached to the CE to allow for multiple controlled passes across the esophagus with the aim of improving transit time. The ability to completely retrieve the device eliminates the risk of capsule retention in susceptible patients also offers an advantage over conventional WCE. A preliminary study of 40 patients with dysphagia (Gilani, et al. 2007) found that tethered capsule endoscopy was safe and well tolerated by patients. The overall agreement between tethered capsule endoscopy and traditional upper endoscopy was 92.7%. Larger studies are needed to determine its efficacy/accuracy and to further define its role as an alternative to upper endoscopy.

Given Imaging received FDA510(k) clearance (Class II) for the PillCam® COLON 2 in February 2014. The clearance is intended for patients who had an incomplete traditional colonoscopy and still require a better review of the passageway. Given Imaging conducted an 884-patient, 16-site clinical trial studying the accuracy and safety of PillCam COLON 2 compared to optical colonoscopy in detecting adenomas 6 millimeters or larger. Results from this clinical trial demonstrated that the sensitivity for PillCam COLON was 88% and specificity was 82% in detecting adenomas at least 6 millimeters in size.¹ The FDA based its clearance decision on an analysis of this clinical trial data that used a more restrictive methodology for matching polyps. In this analysis, which was conducted on hyperplastic polyps and adenomas, the positive percent agreement for PillCam COLON and optical colonoscopy was 69% and negative percent agreement was 81% for polyps at least 6 millimeters in size. The wireless capsule has not been adequately studied in the large intestine. The colon is not well visualized due to stool obscuring the colonic mucosa. Adequate visualization of the colon is also hampered by the colon's larger diameter making it possible for the capsule camera to miss suspicious areas. R Eliakim, et al. (2006) conducted a prospective study to determine if capsule endoscopy of the colon can provide similar detection rates of pathological colonic conditions compared to conventional colonoscopy. Conventional colonoscopy detected more polyps compared to WCE: 70 % were identified with the capsule and 16/20 (80 %) were identified by conventional colonoscopy. In comparison with conventional colonoscopy, false-positive findings on PillCam Colon capsule examination were recorded in 15/45 cases (33 %). Additional studies are needed to evaluate the accuracy of PillCam Colon endoscopy in patient populations with different prevalence levels of colonic disease.

The Agile patency capsule did receive FDA approval in May 2006 as "an accessory to the Pill Cam video capsule and is intended to verify adequate patency of the gastrointestinal tract prior to administration of the Pill Cam video capsule in patients with known or suspected strictures." Delvaux et al. (2005) evaluated the usefulness of this system in 22 patients with suspected intestinal stenosis but also undergoing CE. The authors stated that the current technical development of the patency capsule limits its use in clinical practice, as it did not detect stenoses undiagnosed by CT or SBFT. They stated that the start of dissolution at 40 hours after ingestion is too slow to prevent episodes of intestinal occlusion. The authors noted that patients with Crohn's disease are most likely to be at risk of blockage of progression of the capsule and should benefit from a CT investigation before CE. They noted that a careful interview eliciting the patient's medical history and symptoms remains the most useful indicator with regard to suspicion of an intestinal stenosis. Signorelli et al. (2006) evaluated 32 patients. The 26 patients who excreted the patency capsule intact without experiencing abdominal pain were deemed eligible for the CE procedure, which was performed uneventfully in the 25 who agreed to undergo the examination. The authors stated that the patency capsule "is an effective method for the assessment of small bowel patency before CE. However, the real incidence of complications such as the development of severe abdominal pain and small bowel obstruction needs to be ascertained before the patency test can be recommended as the standard method to evaluate patients at risk of developing capsule retention." There is a lack of data defining the safety and role of the patency

SUBJECT: WIRELESS CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY/ IMAGING FOR EXAMINATION OF THE GASTROINTESTINAL (GI) TRACT POLICY NUMBER: 6.01.27 CATEGORY: Technology Assessment	EFFECTIVE DATE: 06/20/02 REVISED DATE: 01/16/03, 01/15/04, 12/16/04, 10/20/05, 09/21/06, 10/18/07, 11/20/08, 10/29/09, 12/16/10, 11/17/11, 10/18/12, 09/19/13, 08/21/14 PAGE: 5 OF: 13
---	---

capsule. Conventional evaluations remain the gold standard for ruling out any known or suspected gastrointestinal obstruction, strictures, and fistulas prior to CE.

CODES: Number Description

Eligibility for reimbursement is based upon the benefits set forth in the member's subscriber contract.

CODES MAY NOT BE COVERED UNDER ALL CIRCUMSTANCES. PLEASE READ THE POLICY AND GUIDELINES STATEMENTS CAREFULLY.

Codes may not be all inclusive as the AMA and CMS code updates may occur more frequently than policy updates.

<u>CPT:</u>	91110	Gastrointestinal tract imaging, intraluminal (e.g., capsule endoscopy), esophagus through ileum, with interpretation and report
	91111	Gastrointestinal tract imaging, intraluminal (e.g., capsule endoscopy), esophagus with interpretation and report
	0355T (E/I)	Gastrointestinal tract imaging, intraluminal (eg, capsule endoscopy), colon, with interpretation and report

This medical policy does not address the ingestible pH and pressure capsule (e.g., SmartPill® GI Monitoring System) billed with CPT code 91112. This technology has been proposed as a means of evaluating gastric emptying for the diagnosis of gastroparesis and colonic transit times for the diagnosis of slow-transit constipation.

Copyright © 2014 American Medical Association, Chicago, IL

HCPCS: No codes

ICD9: Multiple codes

ICD10: Multiple codes

REFERENCES:

Adler SN, et al. PillCam COLON capsule endoscopy: recent advances and new insights. Therap Adv Gastroenterol 2011 Jul;4(4):265-8.

*Albert JG, et al. Diagnosis of small bowel Crohn's disease: a prospective comparison of capsule endoscopy with magnetic resonance imaging and fluoroscopic enteroclysis. Gut 2005 Dec;54(12):1721-7.

*Albert JG, et al. Impact of capsule endoscopy on outcome in mid-intestinal bleeding: a multicentre cohort study in 285 patients. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2008 Oct;20(10):971-7.

Ahn D, et al. Meta-analysis of capsule endoscopy in patients diagnosed or suspected with esophageal varices. World J Gastroenterol 2010 Feb 14;16(6):785-6.

Amano Y, et al. Gastric screening examination using PillCam ESO 2: a pilot study. Dig Liver Dis 2011 Jul;43(7):580-1.

*Antao B, et al. Clinical application and diagnostic yield of wireless capsule endoscopy in children. J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A 2007 Jun;17(3):364-70.

*Apostolopoulos P, et al. The role of wireless capsule endoscopy in investigating unexplained iron deficiency anemia after negative endoscopic evaluation of the upper and lower gastrointestinal tract. Endoscopy 2006 Nov;38(11):1127-32.

*Appleyard M, et al. Wireless-capsule diagnostic endoscopy for recurrent small-bowel bleeding. NEJM 2001 Jan 18;344(3):232-3.

Arakawa D, et al. Outcome after enteroscopy for patients with obscure GI bleeding: diagnostic comparison between double-balloon endoscopy and videocapsule endoscopy. Gastrointest Endosc 2009 Apr;69(4):866-74.

SUBJECT: WIRELESS CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY/ IMAGING FOR EXAMINATION OF THE GASTROINTESTINAL (GI) TRACT POLICY NUMBER: 6.01.27 CATEGORY: Technology Assessment	EFFECTIVE DATE: 06/20/02 REVISED DATE: 01/16/03, 01/15/04, 12/16/04, 10/20/05, 09/21/06, 10/18/07, 11/20/08, 10/29/09, 12/16/10, 11/17/11, 10/18/12, 09/19/13, 08/21/14 PAGE: 6 OF: 13
---	---

Atlas DS, et al. Capsule endoscopy in nonresponsive celiac disease. Gastrointest Endosc 2011 Dec;74(6):1315-22.

*ASGE Technology Committee, et al. Capsule endoscopy of the colon. Gastrointest Endosc 2008 Oct;68(4):621-3.

*Bailey AA, et al. Diagnosis and outcome of small bowel tumors found by capsule endoscopy: a three-center Australian experience. Am J Gastroenterol 2006 Oct;101(10):2237-43.

*Banerjee R, et al. Safety and efficacy of the M2A patency capsule for diagnosis of critical intestinal patency: results of a prospective trial. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2007 Dec;22(12):2060-63.

Barret M, et al. Diagnostic yield of capsule endoscopy in refractory celiac disease. Am J Gastroenterol 2012 Oct;107(10):1546-53.

BlueCross BlueShield Association. Wireless capsule endoscopy as a diagnostic technique in disorders of the small bowel, esophagus, and colon. Medical Policy Reference Manual Medical Policy #6.01.33. 2013 Aug 8.

*BlueCross BlueShield Association Medical Advisory Panel. Wireless capsule endoscopy in obscure digestive tract bleeding. 2002 Oct 10.

*BlueCross BlueShield Association Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Wireless capsule endoscopy. 2003 Feb;17(21).

Bhardwaj A, et al. A meta-analysis of the diagnostic accuracy of esophageal capsule endoscopy for Barrett's esophagus in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease. Am J Gastroenterol 2009 Jun;104(6):1533-9.

*Brown G, et al. Video capsule endoscopy in peutz-jeghers syndrome: a blinded comparison with barium follow-through for detection of small-bowel polyps. Endoscopy 2006 Apr;38(4):385-90.

Casciani E, et al. MR enterography versus capsule endoscopy in paediatric patients with suspected Crohn's disease. Eur Radiol 2011 Apr;21(4):823-31.

*Cave D, et al. A Multicenter randomized comparison of the endocapsule and the PilCam SB. Gastrointest Endosc 2008; Apr 12 [Epub ahead of print].

Chandran S, et al. Risk stratification of upper GI bleeding with an esophageal capsule. Gastrointest Endosc 2013 Jun;77(6):891-8.

Chang MS, et al. Diagnosing celiac disease by video capsule endoscopy (VCE) when esophagoduodenoscopy (EGD) and biopsy is unable to provide a diagnosis: a case series. BMC Gastroenterol 2012 Jul 19;12(1):90.

Chavalitdharmong D, et al. Capsule endoscopy is not as accurate as esophagoduodenoscopy in screening cirrhotic patients for varices. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2012 Mar;10(3):254-8.

*Cheifetz AS, et al. The risk of retention of the capsule endoscope in patients with known or suspected Crohn's disease. Am J Gastroenterol 2006 Oct;101(10):2218-22.

*Chen X, et al. A meta-analysis of the yield of capsule endoscopy compared to double-balloon enteroscopy in patients with small bowel diseases. World J Gastroenterol 2007 Aug 28;13(32):4372-8.

Chiba H, et al. Is it worthwhile to perform capsule endoscopy for asymptomatic patients with positive immunochemical faecal occult blood test? Dig Dis Sci 2011 Dec;56(12):3459-62.

*Cobrin GM, et al. Increased diagnostic yield of small bowel tumors with capsule endoscopy. Cancer 2006 Jul 1;107(1):22-7.

Cohen SA, et al. Use of capsule endoscopy in diagnosis and management of pediatric patients, based on meta-analysis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2011 Jun;9(6):490-6.

Cohen SA, et al. The use of a patency capsule in pediatric Crohn's disease: a prospective evaluation. Dig Dis Sci 2011 Mar;56(3):860-5.

SUBJECT: WIRELESS CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY/ IMAGING FOR EXAMINATION OF THE GASTROINTESTINAL (GI) TRACT POLICY NUMBER: 6.01.27 CATEGORY: Technology Assessment	EFFECTIVE DATE: 06/20/02 REVISED DATE: 01/16/03, 01/15/04, 12/16/04, 10/20/05, 09/21/06, 10/18/07, 11/20/08, 10/29/09, 12/16/10, 11/17/11, 10/18/12, 09/19/13, 08/21/14 PAGE: 7 OF: 13
---	---

*Costamagna G, et al. A prospective trial comparing small bowel radiographs and videocapsule endoscopy for suspected small bowel disease. Gastroenterol 2002 Oct;123(4):999-1005.

*Culliford A, et al. The value of wireless capsule endoscopy in patients with complicated celiac disease. Gastrointest Endosc 2005 Jul;62(1):55-61.

*De Franchis R, et al. Esophageal capsule endoscopy (PillCam ESO) is comparable to traditional endoscopy for detection of esophageal varices- an international multicenter trial. Gastrointest Endosc 2007;65(5):AB107.

*Delvaux M, et al. Esophageal capsule endoscopy in patients with suspected esophageal disease: double blinded comparison with esophagogastroduodenoscopy and assessment of interobserver variability. Endoscopy 2008 Jan;40(1):16-22.

*Delvaux M, et al. Clinical evaluation of the use of the M2A patency capsule system before a capsule endoscopy procedure, in patients with known or suspected intestinal stenosis. Endoscopy 2005 Sep;37(9):801-7.

Dionisio PM, et al. Capsule endoscopy has a significant higher diagnostic yield in patients with suspected and established small-bowel Crohn's disease: a met-analysis. Am J Gastroenterol 2010 Jun;105(6):1240-8.

Dolak W, et al. A randomized head-to-head study of small-bowel imaging comparing Mirocam with Endocapsule. Endoscopy 2012 Nov;44(11):1012-20.

Dussault C, et al. Small bowel capsule endoscopy for management of Crohn's disease: a retrospective tertiary care centre experience. Dig Liver Dis 2013 Jul;45(7):558-61.

*Eliakim R, et al. A prospective study of the diagnostic accuracy of Pill Cam ESO esophageal capsule endoscopy versus conventional upper endoscopy in patients with chronic gastroesophageal reflux diseases. J Clin Gastroenterol 2005 Aug;39(7):572-8.

*Eliakim R, et al. Evaluation of the Pill Cam Colon capsule in the detection of colonic pathology: results of the first multicenter, prospective, comparative study. Endoscopy 2006 Oct;38(10):963-70.

Eliakim R, et al. Prospective multicenter performance evaluation of the second-generation colon capsule compared with colonoscopy. Endoscopy 2009 Dec;41(12):1026-31.

El-Matary W, et al. Diagnostic characteristics of given video capsule endoscopy in diagnosis of celiac disease: A meta-analysis. J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A 2009 Apr 30 [Epub ahead of print].

*Eisen GM, et al. The accuracy of PillCam ESO capsule endoscopy versus conventional upper endoscopy for the diagnosis of esophageal varies: a prospective three-center pilot study. Endoscopy 2006 Jan;38(1):31-5.

*Ell C, et al. The first prospective controlled trial comparing wireless capsule endoscopy with push enteroscopy in chronic gastrointestinal bleeding. Endosc 2002;34(9):685-9.

*Ersoy O, et al. How much helpful is the capsule endoscopy for the diagnosis of small bowel lesions? World J Gastroenterol 2006 Jan 28;12(24):3906-10.

*Estevez E, et al. Diagnostic yield and clinical outcomes after capsule endoscopy in 100 consecutive patients with obscure gastrointestinal bleeding. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2006 Aug;18(8):881-8.

Figueiredo PN, et al. Automatic polyp detection in pillcam colon 2 capsule images and videos: preliminary feasibility report. Diag Ther Endosc 2011;2011:182435.

*Fireman Z, et al. The colon- the latest terrain for capsule endoscopy. Dig Liver Dis 2007 Oct;39(10):895-9.

Food and Drug Administration. PillCam® COLON 2 Capsule Endoscopy System. [http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf12/K123666.pdf] accessed 7/15/14.

SUBJECT: WIRELESS CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY/ IMAGING FOR EXAMINATION OF THE GASTROINTESTINAL (GI) TRACT POLICY NUMBER: 6.01.27 CATEGORY: Technology Assessment	EFFECTIVE DATE: 06/20/02 REVISED DATE: 01/16/03, 01/15/04, 12/16/04, 10/20/05, 09/21/06, 10/18/07, 11/20/08, 10/29/09, 12/16/10, 11/17/11, 10/18/12, 09/19/13, 08/21/14 PAGE: 8 OF: 13
---	---

Fritscher-Ravens A, et al. The feasibility of wireless capsule endoscopy in detecting small intestinal pathology in children under the age of 8 years: a multicentre European study. Gut 2009 Nov;58(11):1467-72.

*Galmiche JP, et al. Screening for esophagitis and Barrett's esophagus with wireless esophageal capsule endoscopy: a multicenter prospective trial in patients with reflux symptoms. Am J Gastroenterol 2008 Mar;103(3):538-45.

Gastineau S, et al. Contribution of capsule endoscopy to Peutz-Jeghers syndrome management in children. Dig Liver Dis 2012 Oct;44(10):839-43.

Gay G, et al. Could the colonic capsule PillCam Colon be clinically useful for selecting patients who deserve a complete colonoscopy?: results of clinical comparison with colonoscopy in the perspective of colorectal cancer screening. Am J Gastroenterol 2010 May;105(5):1076-86.

*Gheorghe C, et al. Olympus capsule endoscopy for small bowel examination. J Gastrointest Liver Dis 2007 Sep;16(3):309-13.

*Gilani N, et al. The yield and safety of string capsule endoscopy in patients with dysphagia. Gastrointest Endosc 2007 Dec;66(6):1091-5.

*Girelli CM, et al. Clinical outcome of patients examined by capsule endoscopy for suspected small bowel Crohn's disease. Dig Liver Dis 2007 Feb;39 (2):148-54.

*Gostout C. Capsule endoscopy clinical update: American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy. 2002 Oct;10(2):1-4.

*Gralnek IM, et al. Detecting esophageal disease with second-generation capsule endoscopy: initial evaluation with PillCam ESO 2. Endoscopy 2008 Apr;40(4):275-9.

Gralnek IM, et al. Small bowel capsule endoscopy impacts diagnosis and management of pediatric inflammatory bowel disease: a prospective study. Dig Dis Sci 2012 Feb;57(2):465-71.

Gralnek IM, et al. Capsule endoscopy in acute upper gastrointestinal hemorrhage: a prospective cohort study. Endoscopy 2013;45(1):12-9.

Greve E, et al. High diagnostic and clinical impact of small-bowel capsule endoscopy in patients with hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia with overt digestive bleeding and/or anemia. Gastrointest Endosc 2010 Apr;71(4):760-7.

Gupta A, et al. A prospective study of MT enterography versus capsule endoscopy for the surveillance of adult patients with Peutz-Jeghers syndrome. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2010 Jul;195(1):108-16.

Gutkin E, et al. Pillcam ESO[®] is more accurate than clinical scoring systems in risk stratifying emergency room patients with acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding. Therap Adv Gastrointestin 2013 May;6(3):193-8.

Guturu P, et al. Capsule endoscopy with PillCAM ESO for detecting esophageal varices: a meta-analysis. Minerva Gastroenterol Dietol 2011 Mar;57(1):1-11.

Hall B, et al. Capsule endoscopy: High negative predictive value in the long term despite a low diagnostic yield in patients with suspected Crohn's disease. United Eur Gastroenterol J 2013 Dec;1(6):461-6.

*Hara AK, et al. Crohn's disease of the small bowel: preliminary comparison among CT enterography, capsule endoscopy, small-bowel follow-through, and ileoscopy. Radiol 2006 Jan;238(1):128-34.

He Q, et al. Double-balloon enteroscopy for diagnosis of Meckel's diverticulum: comparison with operative findings and capsule endoscopy. Surgery 2013 Apr;153(4):549-54.

Heresbach D, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of esophageal capsule endoscopy versus conventional upper digestive endoscopy for suspected esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Endoscopy 2010 Feb;42(2):93-7.

Herrerias JM, et al. Agile patency system eliminates risk of capsule retention in patients with known intestinal strictures who undergo capsule endoscopy. Gastrointest Endosc 2008 May;67(6):902-7.

SUBJECT: WIRELESS CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY/ IMAGING FOR EXAMINATION OF THE GASTROINTESTINAL (GI) TRACT POLICY NUMBER: 6.01.27 CATEGORY: Technology Assessment	EFFECTIVE DATE: 06/20/02 REVISED DATE: 01/16/03, 01/15/04, 12/16/04, 10/20/05, 09/21/06, 10/18/07, 11/20/08, 10/29/09, 12/16/10, 11/17/11, 10/18/12, 09/19/13, 08/21/14 PAGE: 9 OF: 13
---	---

Herrerias-Gutierrez JM, et al. PillCam Colon Capsule for the study of colonic pathology in clinical practice. Study of agreement with colonoscopy. Rev Esp Enferm Dig 2011 Feb;103(2):69-75.

Holleran GE, et al. The use of small bowel capsule endoscopy in iron deficiency anaemia: low impact on outcome in the medium term despite high diagnostic yield. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2013 Mar;25(3):327-32.

*Hopper AD, et al. Capsule endoscopy: an alternative to duodenal biopsy for the recognition of villous atrophy in celiac disease? Liver Dis 2007 Feb;39(2):140-5.

Huprich JE, et al. Prospective blinded comparison of wireless capsule endoscopy and multiphase CT enterography in obscure gastrointestinal bleeding. Radiology 2011 Sep;260(3):744-51.

Jeon SR, et al. Portal hypertensive enteropathy diagnosed by capsule endoscopy in cirrhotic patients: a nationwide multicenter study. Dig Dis Sci 2014 May;59(5):1036-41.

Katsinelos P, et al. Wireless capsule endoscopy in detecting small-intestinal polyps in familial adenomatous polyposis. World J Gastroenterol 2009 Dec 28; 15(48):6075-9.

Kav T, et al. Five years' experience with capsule endoscopy in a single center. World J Gastroenterol 2009;15(16):1934-42.

Koulaouzidis A, et al. Diagnostic yield of small-bowel capsule endoscopy in patients with iron-deficiency anemia; a systematic review. Gastrointest Endosc 2012 Nov;76(5):983-92.

Kovanlikaya A, et al. Magnetic resonance enterography and wireless capsule endoscopy in the evaluation of patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Clin Imaging 2013 Jan-Feb;37(1):77-82.

Kurien M, et al. Capsule endoscopy in adult celiac disease: a potential role in equivocal cases of celiac disease? Gastrointest Endosc 2013 Feb;77(2):227-32.

Ladas SD, et al. European Society of gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE): recommendations (2009) on clinical use of video capsule endoscopy to investigate small-bowel, esophageal and colonic diseases. Endoscopy 2010 Mar;42(3):220-7.

*Lai LH, et al. Long-term follow-up of patients with obscure gastrointestinal bleeding after negative capsule endoscopy. Am J Gastroenterol 2006 Jun;101(6):1224-8.

Laine L, et al. Does capsule endoscopy improve outcomes in obscure gastrointestinal bleeding? Randomized trial versus dedicated small bowel radiography. Gastroenterol 2010 May;138(5):1673-80.

*Lapalus MG, et al. Esophageal capsule endoscopy versus esophagogastroduodenoscopy for evaluating portal hypertension: a prospective comparative study of performance and tolerance. Endoscopy 2006 Jan;38(1):36-41.

Lapalus MG, et al. Esophageal capsule endoscopy vs EGD for the evaluation of portal hypertension: a French prospective multicenter comparative study. Am J Gastroenterol 2009 May;104(5):1112-8.

*Leighton JA, et al. Capsule endoscopy: a meta-analysis for use with obscure gastrointestinal bleeding and Crohn's disease. Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am 2006 Apr;16(2):229-50.

Leung WK, et al. Capsule endoscopy or angiography in patients with acute overt obscure gastrointestinal bleeding: a prospective randomized study with long-term follow-up. Am J Gastroenterol 2012 Sep;107(9):1370-6.

*Lewis B, et al. Capsule endoscopy in the evaluation of patients with suspected small intestinal bleeding, a blinded analysis: The results of the first clinical trial. Gastrointest Endosc 2001;53(5):AB70.

*Lewis BS, et al. Capsule endoscopy in the evaluation of patients with suspected small intestinal bleeding: results of a pilot study. Gastrointest Endosc 2002 Sep;56(3):349-53.

*Lewis BS, et al. A pooled analysis to evaluate results of capsule endoscopy trials. Endoscopy 2005 Oct;37(10):960-5.

SUBJECT: WIRELESS CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY/ IMAGING FOR EXAMINATION OF THE GASTROINTESTINAL (GI) TRACT POLICY NUMBER: 6.01.27 CATEGORY: Technology Assessment	EFFECTIVE DATE: 06/20/02 REVISED DATE: 01/16/03, 01/15/04, 12/16/04, 10/20/05, 09/21/06, 10/18/07, 11/20/08, 10/29/09, 12/16/10, 11/17/11, 10/18/12, 09/19/13, 08/21/14 PAGE: 10 OF: 13
---	--

*Liangpunsakul S, et al. Wireless capsule endoscopy detects small bowel ulcers in patients with normal results from state of the art enteroclysis. Am J Gastroenterol 2002 Jun;98(6):1295-8.

Liao Z, et al. Indications and detection, completion, and retention rates of small-bowel capsule endoscopy: a systematic review. Gastrointest Endosc 2010 Feb;71(2):280-6.

Lidums I, et al. The role of capsule endoscopy in suspected celiac disease patients with positive celiac serology. Dig Dis Sci 2011 Feb;56(2):499-505.

*Lin OS, et al. Blinded comparison of esophageal capsule endoscopy versus conventional endoscopy for a diagnosis of Barrett's esophagus in patients with chronic gastroesophageal reflux. Gastrointest Endosc 2007 Apr;65(4):577-83.

Lu Y, et al. Meta-analysis of capsule endoscopy in patients diagnosed or suspected with esophageal varices. World J Gastroenterol 2009 Mar 14;15(10):1254-8.

*Marmo R, et al. Capsule endoscopy versus enteroclysis in the detection of small-bowel involvement in Crohn's disease: a prospective trial. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2005 Aug;3(8):772-6.

*Mata A, et al. A prospective trial comparing wireless capsule endoscopy and barium contrast series for small-bowel surveillance in hereditary GI polyposis syndromes. Gastrointest Endosc 2005 May;61(6):721-5.

*Matsumoto T, et al. Comparison of capsule endoscopy and enteroscopy with the double-balloon method in patients with obscure bleeding and polyposis. Endoscopy 2005 Sep;37(9):827-32.

*Maunoury V, et al. Value of wireless capsule endoscopy in patients with indeterminate colitis (inflammatory bowel disease type unclassified). Inflamm Bowel Dis 2007 Feb;13(2):152-5.

*Mergener K, et al. Literature review and recommendations for clinical application of small-bowel capsule endoscopy, based on a panel discussion by international experts. Consensus statements for small-bowel capsule endoscopy, 2006/2007. Endoscopy 2007 Oct;39(10):895-909.

*Mazzarolo S, et al. Small bowel capsule endoscopy: a systematic review. S Med J 2007 Mar;100(3):274-80.

Milano A, et al. A prospective evaluation of iron deficiency anemia in the GI endoscopy setting: role of standard endoscopy, videocapsule endoscopy, and CT-enteroclysis. Gastrointest Endosc 2011 May;73(5):1002-8.

Min SB, et al. Video capsule endoscopy impacts decision making in pediatric IBD: a single tertiary care center experience. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2013 Sep;19(10):2139-45.

*Mishkin DS, et al. ASGE Technology Status Report: wireless capsule endoscopy. Gastrointest Endosc 2006 Apr;63(4):539-45.

Mussetto A, et al. MiroCam capsule for obscure gastrointestinal bleeding: a prospective, single centre experience. Dig Liver Dis 2013 Feb;45(2):124-8.

*Mylonaki M, et al. Wireless capsule endoscopy: a comparison with push enteroscopy in patients with gastroscopy and colonoscopy negative gastrointestinal bleeding. Gut 2003 Aug;52(8):1122-6.

*Neumann S, et al. Wireless capsule endoscopy for diagnosis of acute intestinal graft-versus-host disease. Gastrointest Endosc 2007 Mar;65(3):403-9.

Oikawa-Kawamoto M, et al. Safety and utility of capsule endoscopy for infants and young children. World J Gastroenterol 2013 Dec 7;19(45):8342-8.

Pena LR, et al. Study comparing oesophageal capsule endoscopy versus EGD in the detection of varices. Dig Liver Dis 2008 Mar;40(3):216-23.

Pilz JB, et al. Colon capsule endoscopy compared to conventional colonoscopy under routine screening conditions. BMC Gastroenterol 2010 Jun 18;10:66.

SUBJECT: WIRELESS CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY/ IMAGING FOR EXAMINATION OF THE GASTROINTESTINAL (GI) TRACT POLICY NUMBER: 6.01.27 CATEGORY: Technology Assessment	EFFECTIVE DATE: 06/20/02 REVISED DATE: 01/16/03, 01/15/04, 12/16/04, 10/20/05, 09/21/06, 10/18/07, 11/20/08, 10/29/09, 12/16/10, 11/17/11, 10/18/12, 09/19/13, 08/21/14 PAGE: 11 OF: 13
---	--

Pioche M, et al. Prospective multicenter evaluation of colon capsule examination indicated by colonoscopy failure or anesthesia contraindication. Endoscopy 2012 Oct;44(10):911-6.

*Pons Beltran V, et al. Evaluation of postsurgical recurrence in Crohn's disease: a new indication for capsule endoscopy? Gastrointest Endosc 2007 Sep;66(3):533-40.

*Postgate AJ, et al. Safety, reliability and limitations of the given patency capsule in patients at risk of capsule retention: a 3-year technical review. Dig Dis Sci 2008 Oct;53(10):2732-8.

Postgate A, et al. Feasibility of video capsule endoscopy in the management of children with Peutz-Jeghers syndrome: a blinded comparison with barium enterography for the detection of small bowel polyps. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2009 Oct;49(4):417-23.

*Qvigstad G, et al. Capsule endoscopy in clinical routine in patients with suspected disease of the small intestine: a 2-year prospective study. Scan J Gastroenterol 2006 May;41(5):614-8.

*Raju GS, et al. American Gastroenterological Association (AGA) Institute technical review on obscure gastrointestinal bleeding. Gastroenterol 2007 Nov;133(5):1697-717.

*Ramirez FC, et al. Feasibility and safety of string, wireless capsule endoscopy in the diagnosis of Barrett's esophagus. Gastrointest Endosc 2005 Jun;61(6):741-6.

*Ramirez FC, et al. Screening of Barrett's esophagus with string-capsule endoscopy: a prospective blinded study of 100 consecutive patients using histology as the criterion standard. Gastrointest Endosc 2008 Jul;68(1):25-31.

*Rey JF, et al. European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE). Video capsule endoscopy: update to guidelines (May 2006). Endoscopy 2006 Oct;38(10):1047-53.

Riccioni ME, et al. Negative capsule endoscopy in patients with obscure gastrointestinal bleeding reliable: recurrence of bleeding on long-term follow-up. World J Gastroenterol 2013 Jul 28;19(28):4520-5.

Rokkas T, et al. A meta-analysis evaluating the accuracy of colon capsule endoscopy in detecting colon polyps. Gastrointest Endosc 2010 Apr;71(4):792-8.

Rokkas T, et al. The role of video capsule endoscopy in the diagnosis of celiac disease: a meta-analysis. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2012 Mar;24(3):303-8.

*Rondonotti E, et al. Complications, limitations, and failures of capsule endoscopy: a review of 733 cases. Gastrointest Endosc 2005 Nov;65(5):712-6.

*Rondonotti E, et al. Video capsule enteroscopy in the diagnosis of celiac disease: a multicenter study. Am J Gastroenterol 2007 Aug;102(8):1624-1631.

*Rossini FP, et al. Small bowel endoscopy. Endoscopy 2002 Jan;34(1):13-20.

Rubio-Tapia A, et al. ACG clinical guidelines: diagnosis and management of celiac disease. Am J Gastroenterol 2013 May;108(5):656-76.

*Scapa E, et al. Initial experience of wireless-capsule endoscopy for evaluating occult gastrointestinal bleeding and suspected small bowel pathology. Am J Gastroenterol 2002 Nov;97(11):2776-9.

Schreibman I, et al. Defining the threshold: new data on the ability of capsule endoscopy to discriminate the size of esophageal varices. Dig Dis Sci 2011 Jan;56(1):220-6.

*Schwartz GD, et al. Small-bowel tumors detected by wireless capsule endoscopy. Dig Dis Sci 2007 Apr;52(4):1026-30.

*Sharma VK, et al. ICCE consensus for esophageal capsule endoscopy. Endoscopy 2005 Oct;37(10):1060-4.

*Sharma P, et al. The diagnostic accuracy of esophageal capsule endoscopy in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease and Barrett's esophagus: a blinded, prospective study. Am J Gastroenterol 2008 Mar;103(3):525-32.

SUBJECT: WIRELESS CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY/ IMAGING FOR EXAMINATION OF THE GASTROINTESTINAL (GI) TRACT POLICY NUMBER: 6.01.27 CATEGORY: Technology Assessment	EFFECTIVE DATE: 06/20/02 REVISED DATE: 01/16/03, 01/15/04, 12/16/04, 10/20/05, 09/21/06, 10/18/07, 11/20/08, 10/29/09, 12/16/10, 11/17/11, 10/18/12, 09/19/13, 08/21/14 PAGE: 12 OF: 13
---	--

Sidhu R, et al. Evaluating the role of small-bowel endoscopy in clinical practice: the largest single-centre experience. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2012 May;24(5):513-9.

Sieg A, et al. Is PillCam COLON capsule ready for colorectal cancer screening? A prospective feasibility study in a community gastroenterology practice. Am J Gastroenterol 2009 Apr;104(4):848-54.

Sieg A, et al. Capsule endoscopy compared with conventional colonoscopy for detection of colorectal neoplasms. World J Gastrointest Endosc 2011 May 16;3(5):81-5.

*Signorelli C, et al. Use of the Given® Patency System for the screening of patients at high risk for capsule retention. Dig Liver Disease 2006 May;38(5):326-30.

*Spada C, et al. A novel diagnostic tool for detecting functional patency of the small bowel: the Given patency capsule. Endoscopy 2005 Sep;37(9):793-800.

*Spada C, et al. Video capsule endoscopy in patients with known or suspected small bowel stricture previously tested with the dissolving patency capsule. J Clin Gastroenterol 2007 Jul;41(6):576-82.

Spada C, et al. Meta-analysis shows colon capsule endoscopy is effective in detecting colorectal polyps. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2010 Jun;8(6):515-22.

Spada C, et al. Second-generation colon capsule endoscopy compared with colonoscopy. Gastrointest Endosc 2011 Sep;74(3):581-9.

Spada C, et al. Colon capsule endoscopy: European Society of gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Guidelines. Endoscopy 2012 May;44(5):527-36.

*Sturniolo GC, et al. Small bowel exploration by wireless capsule endoscopy: results from 314 procedures. Am J Med 2006 Apr;119(4):341-7.

Sung J, et al. The use of Pillcam Colon in assessing mucosal inflammation in ulcerative colitis: a multicenter study. Endoscopy 2012 Aug;44(8):754-8.

*Tatar EL, et al. Clinical utility of wireless capsule endoscopy: experience with 200 cases. J Clin Gastroenterol 2006 Feb;40(2):140-4.

Tescher P, et al. Surveillance of FAP: a prospective blinded comparison of capsule endoscopy and other GI imaging to detect small bowel polyps. Hered Cancer Clin Pract 2010 Apr 4;8(1):3.

Tong J, et al. Diagnostic yield of capsule endoscopy in the setting of iron deficiency anemia without evidence of gastrointestinal bleeding. Can J Gastroenterol 2012 Oct;26(10):687-90.

*Triester SL, et al. A meta-analysis of the yield of capsule endoscopy compared to other diagnostic modalities in patients with obscure gastrointestinal bleeding. Am J Gastroenterol 2005 Nov;100(11):2407-18.

*Triester SL, et al. A meta-analysis of the yield of capsule endoscopy compared to other diagnostic modalities in patients with non-stricturing small bowel Crohn's disease. Am J Gastroenterol 2006 May;10(5):954-64.

*Urbain D, et al. Video capsule endoscopy in small-bowel malignancy: a multicenter Belgian study. Endoscopy 2006 Apr;38(4):408-11.

Urquhart P, et al. Capsule endoscopy versus magnetic resonance enterography for the detection of small bowel polyps in Peutz-Jeghers syndrome. Fam Cancer 2014 Jun;13(2):249-55.

Van Gossum A, et al. Capsule endoscopy versus colonoscopy for the detection of polyps and cancer. NEJM 2009 Jul 16;361(3):264-70.

Varadarajan P, et al. Seeing what's out of sight: wireless capsule endoscopy's unique ability to visualize and accurately assess the severity of gastrointestinal graft-vs-host-disease. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2009 May;15(5):643-8.

SUBJECT: WIRELESS CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY/ IMAGING FOR EXAMINATION OF THE GASTROINTESTINAL (GI) TRACT POLICY NUMBER: 6.01.27 CATEGORY: Technology Assessment	EFFECTIVE DATE: 06/20/02 REVISED DATE: 01/16/03, 01/15/04, 12/16/04, 10/20/05, 09/21/06, 10/18/07, 11/20/08, 10/29/09, 12/16/10, 11/17/11, 10/18/12, 09/19/13, 08/21/14 PAGE: 13 OF: 13
---	--

*Voderholzer WA, et al. Small bowel involvement in Crohn's disease: a prospective comparison of wireless capsule endoscopy and computed tomography enteroclysis. Gut 2005 Mar;54(3):369-73.

Westerhof J, et al. Diagnostic yield of small bowel capsule endoscopy depends on the small bowel transit time. World J Gastroenterol 2012 Apr 7;18(13):1502-7.

*Wong RF, et al. Video capsule endoscopy compared with standard endoscopy for the evaluation of small-bowel polyps in persons with familial adenomatous polyposis. Gastrointest Endosc 2006 Oct;64(4):530-7.

Xue M, et al. Small-bowel capsule endoscopy in patients with unexplained chronic abdominal pain: a systematic review. Gastrointest Endosc 2014 Jul 8 [Epub ahead of print].

Yang L, et al. Increased diagnostic yield of capsule endoscopy in patients with chronic abdominal pain. PLoS One 2014 Jan;9(1):e87396.

Yazici C, et al. Inpatient capsule endoscopy leads to frequent incomplete small bowel examinations. World J Gastroenterol 2012 Sep 28;18(36):5051-7.

Ye CE, et al. Pillcam colon capsule endoscopy versus conventional colonoscopy for the detection of severity and extent of ulcerative colitis. J Dig Dis 2013 Mar;14(3):117-24.

Zagorowicz ES, et al. Small bowel tumors detected and missed during capsule endoscopy: single center experience. World J Gastroenterol 2013 Dec 21;19(47):9043-8.

Zhang Q, et al. Combined use of capsule endoscopy and double-balloon enteroscopy in the diagnosis of obscure gastrointestinal bleeding: meta-analysis and pooled analysis. Hepatogastroenterol 2013 Nov-Dec;60(128):1885-91.

*Zuckerman GR, et al. AGA technical review on the evaluation and management of occult and obscure gastrointestinal bleeding. Gastroenterol 2000 Jan;118(1):201-21.

*key article

KEY WORDS:

AGILE™ patency capsule, Capsule Endoscope System, Given® capsule camera, PillCam SB, PillCam ESO, PillCam Colon.

CMS COVERAGE FOR MEDICARE PRODUCT MEMBERS

There is currently a Local Coverage Determination (LCD) and related article for endoscopy by capsule. Please refer to the following LCD websites for Medicare Members:

http://apps.ngsmedicare.com/lcd/LCD_L25468.htm

http://apps.ngsmedicare.com/sia/ARTICLE_A48399.htm