Protocol

Magnetoencephalography/Magnetic Source Imaging

(60121)

Medical Benefit		**Effective Date**: 04/01/12	**Next Review Date**: 01/15
Preauthorization	No	**Review Dates**: 04/07, 05/08, 03/09, 01/10, 01/11, 01/12, 01/13, 01/14	

The following Protocol contains medical necessity criteria that apply for this service. It is applicable to Medicare Advantage products unless separate Medicare Advantage criteria are indicated. If the criteria are not met, reimbursement will be denied and the patient cannot be billed. ***Preauthorization is not required.*** *Please note that payment for covered services is subject to eligibility and the limitations noted in the patient's contract at the time the services are rendered.*

Description

Magnetoencephalography (MEG) is a noninvasive functional imaging technique in which weak magnetic forces are recorded externally. When this information is superimposed on an anatomic image of the brain, typically a magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan, the image is referred to as magnetic source imaging (MSI). This technique has been studied for identifying "eloquent" areas of the brain for neurosurgical planning and for use in localization of epileptic foci.

Background

Magnetoencephalography (MEG) is a noninvasive functional imaging technique in which the weak magnetic forces associated with the electrical activity of the brain are recorded externally. Using mathematical modeling, the recorded data are then analyzed to provide an estimated location of the electrical activity. This information can be superimposed on an anatomic image of the brain, typically a magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan, to produce a functional/anatomic image of the brain, referred to as magnetic source imaging or MSI. The primary advantage of MSI is that while the conductivity and thus the measurement of electrical activity as recorded by the electroencephalogram (EEG) is altered by surrounding brain structures, the magnetic fields are not. Therefore, MSI permits a high-resolution image.

The technique is sophisticated. Detection of the weak magnetic fields depends on gradiometer detection coils coupled to a superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID), which requires a specialized room shielded from other magnetic sources. Mathematical modeling programs based on idealized assumptions are then used to translate the detected signals into functional images. In its early evolution, clinical applications were limited by the use of only one detection coil requiring lengthy imaging times, which, because of body movement, were also difficult to coordinate with the MRI. However, more recently, the technique has evolved to multiple detection coils arranged in an array that can provide data more efficiently over a wide extracranial region.

One clinical application is localization of the pre- and postcentral gyri as a guide to surgical planning in patients scheduled to undergo neurosurgery for epilepsy, brain neoplasms, arteriovenous malformations, or other brain disorders. These gyri contain the "eloquent" sensorimotor areas of the brain, the preservation of which is considered critical during any type of brain surgery. In normal situations, these areas can be identified anatomically by MRI, but frequently the anatomy is distorted by underlying disease processes. In addition, the location of the eloquent functions is variable, even among healthy patients. Therefore, localization of the eloquent cortex often requires such intraoperative invasive functional techniques as cortical stimulation with the patient under local anesthesia or somatosensory-evoked responses on electrocorticography (ECoG). While these techniques can be done at the same time as the planned resection, they are cumbersome and can add up

to 45 minutes of anesthesia time. Furthermore, sometimes these techniques can be limited by the small surgical field. A preoperative test, which is often used to localize the eloquent hemisphere, is the Wada test. MEG/MSI has been proposed as a substitute for the Wada test.

Another related clinical application is localization of epileptic foci, particularly for screening of surgical candidates and surgical planning. Alternative techniques include MRI, positron emission tomography (PET), or single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) scanning. Anatomic imaging (i.e., MRI) is effective when epilepsy is associated with a mass lesion, such as a tumor, vascular malformation, or hippocampal atrophy. If an anatomic abnormality is not detected, patients may undergo a PET scan. In a small subset of patients, extended ECoG or stereotactic electroencephalography EEG (SEEG) with implanted electrodes is considered the gold standard for localizing epileptogenic foci. MEG/MSI has principally been investigated as a supplement to or an alternative to invasive monitoring.

Policy (Formerly Corporate Medical Guideline)

Magnetoencephalography/magnetic source imaging for the purpose of determining the laterality of language function, as a substitute for the Wada test, in patients being prepared for surgery for epilepsy, brain tumors, and other indications requiring brain resection, may be considered **medically necessary**.

Magnetoencephalography/magnetic source imaging as a part of the preoperative evaluation of patients with intractable epilepsy (seizures refractory to at least two first-line anticonvulsants) may be considered **medically necessary**, when standard techniques such as MRI and EEG do not provide satisfactory localization of epileptic lesion(s).

Magnetoencephalography/magnetic source imaging is considered **investigational** for all other indications.

Services that are the subject of a clinical trial do not meet our Technology Assessment Protocol criteria and are considered investigational. *For explanation of experimental and investigational, please refer to the Technology Assessment Protocol.*

It is expected that only appropriate and medically necessary services will be rendered. We reserve the right to conduct prepayment and postpayment reviews to assess the medical appropriateness of the above-referenced procedures. **Some of this Protocol may not pertain to the patients you provide care to, as it may relate to products that are not available in your geographic area.**

References

We are not responsible for the continuing viability of web site addresses that may be listed in any references below.

1. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association. Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). TEC Special Report: Magnetoencephalography and magnetic source imaging for the purpose of presurgical localization of epileptic lesions—a challenge for technology evaluation. TEC Assessments 2008; Volume 23, Tab 8.
2. Knowlton RC, Elgavish RA, Limdi NFil et al. Relative predictive value of intracranial electroencephalography. Ann Neurol 2008; 64(1):25-34.
3. Knowlton RC, Razdan SN, Limdi N et al. Effect of epilepsy magnetic source imaging on intracranial electrode placement. Ann Neurol 2009; 65(6-Jan):716-23.

4. Lau M, Yam D, Burneo JG. A systematic review on MEG and its use in the presurgical evaluation of localization-related epilepsy. Epilepsy Res 2008; 79(3-Feb):97-104.
5. Schneider F, Irene Wang Z, Alexopoulos AV et al. Magnetic source imaging and ictal SPECT in MRI-negative neocortical epilepsies: additional value and comparison with intracranial EEG. Epilepsia 2013; 54(2):359-69.
6. Widjaja E, Shammas A, Vali R et al. FDG-PET and magnetoencephalography in presurgical workup of children with localization-related nonlesional epilepsy. Epilepsia 2013; 54(4):691-9.
7. Bagic A, Funke ME, Ebersole J. American Clinical MEG Society (ACMEGS) position statement: the value of magnetoencephalography (MEG)/magnetic source imaging (MSI) in noninvasive presurgical evaluation of patients with medical intractable localization-related epilepsy. J Clin Neurophysiol 2009; 26(4):1-4.
8. Sutherling WW, Mamelak AN, Thyerlei D et al. Influence of magnetic source imaging for planning intracranial EEG in epilepsy. Neurology 2008; 71(13):990-6.
9. De TX, Carrette E, Legros B et al. Clinical added value of magnetic source imaging in the presurgical evaluation of refractory focal epilepsy. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2012; 83(4):417-23.
10. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association. Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Magnetoencephalography (MEG) and magnetic source imaging (MSI): presurgical localization of epileptic lesions and presurgical function mapping. TEC Assessments 2003; Volume 18, Tab 6.
11. Papanicolaou AC, Simos PG, Castillo EM et al. Magnetocephalography: a noninvasive alternative to the Wada procedure. J Neurosurg 2004; 100(5-Jan):867-76.
12. Hirata M, Kato A, Taniguchi M et al. Determination of language dominance with synthetic aperture magnetometry: comparison with the Wada test. Neuroimage 2004; 23(1):46-53.
13. Ontario Ministry of Health MASMFbiHTPAT, ON: MAS; December 2006. Available online at: http://www.health.gov.on.ca/english/providers/program/ohtac/tech/reviews/pdf/rev_fbi_012507.pdf. Last accessed September 2013.
14. Niranjan A, Laing EJ, Laghari FJ et al. Preoperative magnetoencephalographic sensory cortex mapping. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 2013; 91(5):314-22.
15. Tarapore PE, Tate MC, Findlay AM et al. Preoperative multimodal motor mapping: a comparison of magnetoencephalography imaging, navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation, and direct cortical stimulation. J Neurosurg 2012; 117(2):354-62.